arXiv:alg-geom/9505032v1 29 May 1995

The fiber of the Griffiths map for the non-hyperelliptic Fano threefolds of genus 6

Atanas Iliev

Abstract

Among smooth non-rational Fano 3-folds, the non-hyperelliptic Fano 3-fold $X = X_{10}$ of degree 10 (= of genus 6) has the unique property to admit a non-trivial orbit of birationally equivalent threefolds, inside its moduli space $\mathcal{X}_{10}$. Here we prove that these orbits are, in fact, the same as the fibers of the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map on $\mathcal{X}_{10}$. This coincidence leads up to the main result of the paper: The general fiber of the Griffiths map on $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ is a union of two irreducible 2-dimensional components F_1 and F_2 of birationally equivalent threefolds. Moreover, each F_i is isomorphic to the family of conics on any threefold $X \in F_i$, and any threefold of F_i can be obtained from a fixed $X(0) \in F_i$ by a "quadruple cubic projection from a conic on $X(0)$. As an application, we give a negative answer to the Tjurin's conjecture: *The general* X_{10} *is birational to a quartic double solid*.

Contents.

I. Introduction 1
A. The prehomogeneous Fano fourfold W, and the moduli space $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ 8
L. Line-transformations 16
C. Conic-transformations 26
N. Nodal X_{10} 32
F. The fiber of the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}$ 43
References 50

I. Introduction.

(I.0) *The unhandy Fano threefold* X_{10}.

According to the classification [Isk1] - [Isk2] of Fano-Iskovskikh, there are 18 types of Fano threefolds of the 1^{-st} species ($= rk.\mathbf{Pic} = 1$). For 8 of these types: $\mathbf{P}^3$, the quadric Q_2, the complete intersections of two quadrics Y_4, the del Pezzo threefold $Y_5 = \mathbf{P}(T_{\mathbf{P}^2}) \cong$ any smooth complete intersection of the Grassmannian $G = G(2,5) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$ and a subspace $\mathbf{P}^6$, and the threefolds $X_{2g-2} \subset \mathbf{P}^{g+1}, g = 7, 9, 10, 12$ (as well the exceptional X'_{22} - see [MU], [F]), any threefold is rational.

The general element of the next 10 families is non-rational (see e.g. [B1]); and there are two basic methods to their study:

(CG). The Clemens-Griffiths approach – studying the relation between the Fano threefold X and its principally polarized intermediate jacobian $(J(X), \Theta)$, and

(FI). The approach of Fano-Iskovskikh – studying the birational isomorphisms $X \to Y$, in the class of smooth Fano 3-folds.

Three – among the 10 "non-rational" types – the cubic Y_3, the quartic double solid Y_2, and the complete intersection of two quadrics X_8, have relatively simple jacobians, and the approach (CH) has been successfully applied for them, especially – to prove the Torelli theorem for each of them, in the form: The singular theta divisor Θ determines unique the threefold (see e.g. [CG], [Tju], [B2], [Vo], [C2], [De1], [D2]). Moreover, the threefold X_{14} is birational to a cubic Y_3, and its properties can be reduced to properties of Y_3 (see e.g. [Isk3, Ch.3], [Tr], [Pu]).

As far as the author is informed, the approach (FI), which works – in general – for threefolds which are "far from $\mathbf{P}^3$", was successful to describe the birational isomorphisms for 5 of the rest 6 types: the quartic X_4, the sextic double solid X_2, the double Veronese cone Y_1, the complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic X_6, and the double quadric X'_4.

As a summary, any birational map from one of these threefolds X to a Fano 3-fold of the 1^{-st} specie must be either a biregular automorphism of the threefold X, or – at most – a composition of birational involutions of X and a biregular automorphism (see [IM], [I3], [I5], [H1]).

What was left is the type of Fano threefolds of degree 10, or – equivalently – *the Fano threefolds of genus* 6 = the genus of the canonical curve on the threefold (see [Isk1], [Isk2]). There are two kinds of such threefolds:

The non-hyperelliptic kind $X_{10} = G \cap \mathbf{P}^7 \cap Q$ = the complete intersection of the Grassmannian $G = G(2,5) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$ with a subspace $\mathbf{P}^7$ and a quadric Q, and

The hyperelliptic kind – the Gushel threefold $X'_{10} \to Y_5$ = the double covering of the Fano threefold $Y_5 = G \cap \mathbf{P}^6$, branched along a quadratic section of Y_5. Moreover, any smooth X'_{10} is a smooth projective deformation of X_{10}, i.e. X'_{10} is "less general" than X_{10} (see [Gus]).

(I.1) *The existence of a non-trivial birational orbit – a unique property of* X_{10}.

In this paper we study the "more general" non-hyperelliptic Fano threefold X_{10}.

First, we shall try to answer the question why it is hard to apply for X_{10} either of the approaches (CG) and (FI).

The approach (CG), being applicable for Fano's with "simple" jacobians should work for Fano's X of non-rational types and with small $h^{1,2} = \mathit{dim}\ J(X)$. Indeed, $h^{1,2}(Y_3) = 5$, and $h^{1,2}(Y_2) = 10$, $h^{1,2}(X_8) = 14$ – which is conspicuously less than e.g. $h^{1,2}(X_4) = 30$. Moreover, $J(Y_3)$ and $J(X_8)$ are Prym varieties – which, in particular, simplifies their descriptions, while studying the 10-dimensional $J(Y_2)$ had taken lot of efforts ([W], [Ti1], [Ti2], [Ti3], [C1], [Vo], [D2], [C2]).

Concerning X_2, X_4, X'_4, Y_1, and X_6 – the field of the approach (FI) – one has: $h^{1,2}(X_2) = 52$, $h^{1,2}(X_4) = H^{1,2}(X'_4) = 30$, $h^{1,2}(Y_1) = 21$, and $h^{1,2}(X_6) = 20$; and one should be prevented from the large $h^{1,2}$ to find an easy way to apply (CG).

As regards X_{10} and X'_{10}, one has $h^{1,2}(X_{10}) = h^{1,2}(X'_{10}) = 10$, and the Fano threefolds of degree 10 should be nearer to such threefolds like the quartic double solid Y_2 (see (I.4)).

However, as it follows from the forthcoming, the Torelli theorem does not hold for X_{10} – i.e. one cannot recognize X_{10} from its p.p. intermediate jacobian $J(X_{10}, \Theta)$. In other words, if $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ is the moduli space of X_{10}, then the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}$ (see e.g. [CG], [Tju]) has a non-trivial fiber $j^{-1}(\xi)$, over the general $\xi = (J(X_{10}), \Theta) \in \mathcal{J}_{10} = Image(j)$.

In order to answer the question: Why X_{10} is far from threefolds like the quartic and the sextic double solid, we introduce the notion *birational orbit*:

(*). Let X be a Fano threefold of the 1^{-st} specie, and of any of the 10 "non-rational" types (say X_4,...). Define *the birational orbit of* X :=: $Orb_{bir}(X)$ to be the set of all the Fano threefolds Y – of the same type (i.e. X_4,...) – which are birational to X: mod.(biregular equivalence).

Turning to the "(FI)-threefolds": X_4, X_4', X_6, X_2, and Y_1, one immediately concludes that the birational orbit of the general element of any of these types is trivial – any such birational isomorphism must transform X into itself.

Even for the "(CG)-threefolds": Y_3, Y_2, and X_8 – which, in particular, have large groups of birational automorphisms – one can immediately see that the Torelli theorem, together with the generic non-rationality, prohibit the non-triviality of the birational orbit of their general elements X. Indeed, let X be a general element of any of these 3 types, and let $X' \in Orb_{bir}(X)$; in particular, X' is also non-rational. As it is well-known, the jacobian of the general 3-fold X of any of these 3 types is an indecomposable abelian variety, which is not an jacobian of a curve. Therefore the birationality of X and X' implies that $J(X')$ is a product of $J(X)$ and an jacobian A of a (possibly – non-connected) curve. Now, the identity $dim\ J(X) = dim\ J(X')$ implies that $A = 0$. That is $J(X) = J(X')\ \Rightarrow X = X'$ – by the Torelli theorem.

All this brings up the question:

Is Orb_{bir} *a trivial notion?* – No, it isn't: $Orb_{bir}(X_{10})$ is not trivial; and this non-triviality is the property, by which X_{10} differs from the rest non-rational types of Fano threefolds. Here, among all, we shall find the birational orbit of the general X_{10}.

(I.2) *Structure of the paper.*

Let $X = X_{10} \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be a general non-hyperelliptic Fano threefold of degree 10. Let $\xi = j(X) = (J(X), \Theta)$ be its intermediate jacobian, and let $j^{-1}(\xi) \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be the fiber of j through X.

As one may expect, the inclusion $Orb_{bir}(X) \subset j^{-1}(j(X))$ takes place from trivial reasons (see above). The main result of this paper – Theorem (F.6) – tells, in particular, that these two sets coincide. That is – if the general Fano threefolds X, Y have the same jacobian, then they are birational to each other.

The proof of the coincidence $\{$ the fiber of j through $X\} = \{$ the birational orbit of $X\}$, is carried out in two steps:

Step (i). Studying the fiber of the intermediate jacobian map j, defined on the moduli space of X_{10}; and this yields the topological structure of the fiber – it is a union of two irreducible surfaces $F \cup \overline{F}$;

Step (ii). Discovering of two 2-dimensional irreducible surfaces, inside the birational orbit of a fixed general $X = X_{10}$; and this, together with the inclusion $Orb_{bir}(X) \subset$ $\{$ the fiber $F \cup \overline{F}$ through $X\}$, yields the algebraic structure of the components F and $\overline{F}$ – each of them is birational to the Fano surface of conics on any of its elements.

In order to carry out step **(i)**, in section A we describe first an appropriate model of $\mathcal{X}_{10}$, as follows: The general X_{10} is a quadratic section of a smooth 4-fold of degree 5 $W = W(\mathbf{P}^7) = G \cap \mathbf{P}^7 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$. It is known that such W is unique, and – as it turns out – W is a prehomogeneous space for the action of its 8-dimensional automorphism group $Aut(W)$ = an extension of $\mathbf{PGL}(2)$ by a semidirect product $\mathsf{G}_m \triangleright \mathsf{G}_a^4$ (see (A.4.3)-(A.4.4)). The action of $Aut(W)$ cuts the space $\mid \mathcal{O}_W(2) \mid \cong \mathbf{P}^{30}$ into 8-dimensional orbits of projectively equivalent Fano threefolds of degree 10; and we see that the obtained 22-dimensional orbifold if birational to $\mathcal{X}_{22}$ – see (A.6.2).

In order to find $dim\ j(\mathcal{X}_{10})$, and the topological structure of the fiber of j, we study, in section N, the nodal X_{10}, and its projection $Z_8 \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ through the node – which is a degenerate intersection of 3 quadrics which contains a quadratic surface. The observation is that the jacobian of the general nodal X_{10} is a Prym variety of a double covering of a general plane sextic. It follows that the codimension one subspace $\mathcal{J}_{10}^{nodal} \subset \mathcal{J}_{10} = j(\mathcal{X}_{10})$, swept out by the jacobians of the nodal X_{10}, is the same as the 19-dimensional space $\mathcal{P}_6$ of Prymians of the double coverings of plane sextics – see (N.3).

Now, we degenerate the result of Friedman-Smith [FS] – declaring an (1:1) correspondence between general complete intersections Z_8 of 3 quadrics, and the corresponding double coverings of their determinantal septics – to general Z_8 which contain quadratic surface, and the corresponding double coverings of their discriminantal sextics (see section N). As a result, we obtain that the component F of the fiber of j, through the nodal X, is two-dimensional. Moreover, the result of A.Verra [Ve], which shows that the degree of the Prym map for plane sextics is *two*, implies that the fiber of j through the nodal X_{10} has two components – both of dimension 2.

The same is true also for the general fiber of j – it consists of two irreducible surfaces. In particular, $dim\ \mathcal{J}_{10} = dim\ \mathcal{X}_{10} - 2 = 20$, where $\mathcal{J}_{10} \subset \mathcal{A}_{10}$ is the image of j – see above.

The next is to carry out step **(ii).**, i.e., to consider the fiber of j as a birational orbit of threefolds, and to describe this orbit as an algebraic variety. This is realized in sections L, C, and F, as follows:

Let $j^{-1}(\xi)$, $\xi = (J, \Theta) \in \mathcal{J}_{10}$, be the general fiber of j, and let $j^{-1}(\xi) = F \cup \overline{F}$, where F and $\overline{F}$ are its two components.

Now, the question is to find an appropriate 2-dimensional family of X_{10}, which are birational to each other. The base of this family will describe one of these two components, say F, of the fiber of the Griffiths map j.

As a summary, the main result of section C, and of section F, regarding this last question is: Such a base is the Fano surface $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of conics on any threefold $X = X_{10}$ on the fiber of j. Moreover, if $X \in$ the component F then $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and F are birational to each other – see (F.5.2)-(F.5.3).

In order to prove this, we show in sections C and F that the general conic q, on the general $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ defines a birational map to another element of $\mathcal{X}_{10}$: $\alpha_q : X \to X_q$. Moreover, if p

and q are two such non-coincident conics on X, then $X_p \neq X_q$ – see Proposition (F.2).

This implies that the Fano surface of any element $X \in j^{-1}(\xi)$ is birational to one of the components, say F, of $j^{-1}(\xi)$, and we show that $X \in F$ – see Proposition (F.5). The residue component $\overline{F}$ of $j^{-1}(\xi) = F + \overline{F}$, is isomorphic to the Fano surface of any line transform X_l of X – by (F.5) and (F.5.2). In particular, all the elements of $j^{-1}(\xi)$ are birational to each other (see sections L and C).

(I.3) *The line and the conic transformations of X_{10} – analogs of the classical double projections.*

Let $X = X_{10}$ be general, let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the Fano surface of conics $q \subset X$, let $\Gamma(X)$ be the curve of lines $l \subset X$, and let F be the component of the fiber of j through X.

It is right to mention that the conic transformations$\alpha_q : X \rightarrow X_q$ – which describe the component F, as well the line transformations β_l – which interchange F and $\overline{F}$, relate the birational properties of the threefolds X_{10} and the birational properties of the rational types of Fano threefolds.

More precisely, the map $\beta_l : X \rightarrow X_l$ is defined by the system $\mid \mathcal{O}_X(2) - 3.l \mid$, while the map $\alpha_q : X \rightarrow X_q$ is defined by the system $\mid \mathcal{O}_X(3) - 4.q \mid$. The point is that these maps are *birational isomorphisms between non-biregular* Fano threefolds.

As it follows from (I.0) and (I.1), an existence of such birationalities, between non-biregular threefolds, never happens, in general, for non-rational types of Fano threefolds – except, of course, these which come from the well known birationalities between the cubics and the threefolds X_{14} (see also (I.5) – Remark (a.i)). Turning to rational classes of Fano threefolds, one can find the three classical birational double projections from a line:

$X_{16} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$, $X_{18} \rightarrow Q_2$, and $X_{22} \rightarrow Y_5$.

The double projections, discovered in its own time by G.Fano, and used – in particular – to establish the rationality of X_{16}, X_{18}, and X_{22}, have been reexamined recently as generating examples of D-flops over the projections from a line (see e.g. [Isk7]).

The same is the situation with the "triple quadratic projection" from a line $l \subset X_{10}$, and with the "quadruple cubic projection" from a conic $q \subset X_{10}$: There exists a special D-flop $X' \rightarrow X^+$, which resolves, mod. codimension 2, the projection of X_{10} from the line l (or – from the conic q) – see sections L and C.

(I.4) *A negative answer to a Tjurin's conjecture.*

In addition, it is worth to mention that the results of the paper imply, in particular, an answer of the Tjurin's question (see [Tju, Ch.3:Sect.2]):

(**). *Is the variety X_{10} birational to a quartic double solid Y_2?*

The answer is *no* – in general: The image $\mathcal{J}_{10} = j(\mathcal{X}_{10})$ is 20-dimensional – see e.g. Theorem (F.6), while the well-known image $\mathcal{J}_2 = j(\{\text{Quartic double solids}\})$ is 19-dimensional – see e.g [C1], [D2], [Ve].

(I.5) *Remarks.*

(a). As it follows from the preceding, the only two other non-rational types of Fano's of the 1^{-st} specie, for which the birational orbit of the general element might be non-trivial, are $\mathcal{X}_{14}$, and $\mathcal{X}'_{10}$. Here we discuss separately either of these two cases:

(i). *The birational orbit of* X_{14}.

As it is well-known – see e.g. [Gus], [Isk6] – the Fano 3-fold X_{14} is an intersection of $G(2,6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ and a *codim.* 5 subspace $\mathbf{P}^9$. That is, the moduli space $\mathcal{X}_{14}$ should be birational to the 15-dimensional quotient space $G(5,15)/Aut\ G(2,6)$.

Here we present one conjecturable decription of the fiber of the Griffiths map on $\mathcal{X}_{10}$:

Let $\mathcal{Y}_3$ be the 10-dimensional moduli space of cubic threefolds. As one can see, there exists a generically 1 : 1-correspondence Σ between the 20-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{C}^1_5(\mathcal{X}_{14}) = \{(X_{14}, C) : C \subset X_{14}, deg(C) = 5, p_a(C) = 1\}$, and $\mathcal{C}^1_5(\mathcal{Y}_3) = \{(Y_3, C) : C \subset Y_3, deg(C) = 5, p_a(C) = 1\}$; and this correspondence Σ conforms to birational isomorphisms (see e.g. [Isk3, Ch.3], [Pu]).

Let $p' : \Sigma \to \mathcal{C}^1_5(\mathcal{X}_{14})$, $p'' : \Sigma \to \mathcal{C}^1_5(\mathcal{Y}_3)$, $p_{14} : \mathcal{C}^1_5(\mathcal{X}_{14}) \to \mathcal{X}_{14}$, and $p_3 : \mathcal{C}^1_5(\mathcal{Y}_3) \to \mathcal{Y}_3$ be the natural projections. Then, by our conjecture, the 5-dimensional fiber of $j : \mathcal{X}_{14} \to j(\mathcal{Y}_3)$, above the general $(J, \Theta) = j(Y_3)$, has a component of maximal dimension, which is birational to $p_{14} \circ (p'')^{-1} \circ (p_3)^{-1}(Y_3)$; and this fiber coincides with the birational orbit, in $\mathcal{X}_{14}$, of any of its elements.

Anyway, the description of the birational orbit of X_{14}, is a problem of parameterization of the birational isomorphisms from a fixed cubic Y_3, to Fano's of type $\mathcal{X}_{14}$. Note that, besides the birational isomorphisms $Y_3 \to X_{14}(C)$ – defined by elliptic quintics $C = C^1_5 \subset Y_3$ (ibid.), are known also birational isomorphisms $Y_3 \to X_{14}(E)$ – defined by elliptic quartics $E \subset Y_3$ (see [Tr]).

(ii). *The birational orbit of* X'_{10}.

One may assume that, being a smooth deformation of X_{10}, the Gushel threefold should admit – by similarity – a 2-component fiber, consisting of two Fano surfaces. However, such a deformation does not live in the model $\mathcal{X}_{10}$, of the moduli space of non-hyperelliptic Fano's of degree 10, considered in this paper (see section A): The smooth deformation from non-hyperelliptic X_{10}-s to Gushel 3-folds lives in the moduli space $\mathcal{X}^c_{10}$ – of Fano's of degree 10, regarded as embedded in a cone $C(W)$ over the fourfold $W = W_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ (see [Gus], and section A). Anyway, $\mathcal{X}^c_{10}$ is naturally birational to our model $\mathcal{X}_{10}$.

In particular, all the generic properties of the elements of $\mathcal{X}^c_{10}$ must be the same as the generic properties of the elements of $\mathcal{X}_{10}$. This suggests to expect a 2-dimensional fiber of the Griffiths map j^c on $\mathcal{X}^c_{10}$ – also on some special subvarieties of $\mathcal{X}^c_{10}$.

As it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{X}'_{10} \subset \mathcal{X}^c_{10}$, this space is birational the moduli space of their branched loci, i.e.: $\mathcal{X}'_{10}$ is birational to the moduli space $\mathcal{F}_6$ of these $K3^{-s}$ $S_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^6$, which are quadratic sections of the del Pezzo 3-fold Y_5. As is shown by Mukai (see [Mu]), the general $K3$-surface $S_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ can be embedded as a quadratic section of Y_5, and – therefore – the space $\mathcal{F}_6$ is birational to the 19-dimensional quotient $Symm^{12}\ \mathbf{C}^2 \oplus Symm^8\ \mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{PGL}(2)$. In short, the projective moduli of $S_{10} \subset Y_5$ are the same as the quotient of $\mid \mathcal{O}_{Y_5}(2) \mid \cong \mathbf{P}^{22}$, by the group $Aut(Y_5) \cong \mathbf{PGL}(2)$ (see e.g. [MU] – or [F], [PS1], [PS2]). In particular, $\mathcal{X}'_{10}$ is of codimension 3 in $\mathcal{X}_{10}^c$.

Let X_{10}' be a general Gushel 3-fold. On the one hand, as one may expect, the general conic transformations, as well the general line transformations, should bring X_{10}' outside $\mathcal{X}_{10}'$, but they must leave the birational images of X_{10}' inside $\mathcal{X}_{10}^c$. It is natural to assume that these orbits are 2-dimensional, i.e. – of the same dimension as the general birational orbits. On the other hand, as far as the author can judge, the subvariety $\mathcal{J}_{10}'$ of intermediate jacobians of Gushel 3-folds should be a divisor in the 20-fold $\mathcal{J}_{10}^c = \{j(X) : X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}^c\}$. One way to see this is to degenerate the general nodal X_{10} to a general nodal Gushel 3-fold. Then – see section N – the Pfaff line becomes totally tangent to the determinantal sextic of the threefold, and one has to see that the 18-fold, of Prym varieties over general plane sextics with totally tangent line, is the same as the set of abelian parts of jacobians of general nodal Gushel 3-folds. Therefore, the "birational extension" $Orb_{bir}(\mathcal{X}_{10}')$ (of all birational images of Gushel's, in $\mathcal{X}_{10}^c$) should be also a divisor in $\mathcal{X}_{10}^c$, and the general birational orbit $Orb_{bir}(X_{10}') \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}^c$ should intersect $\mathcal{X}_{10}'$ in a finite number, say $N \geq 1$, of points.

If all this is true, then the integer N will be the same as the degree of the Griffiths map on $\mathcal{X}_{10}'$. The question is: $N =?$.

(b). *The example of Donagi.*

Turning to the examples of non-smooth Fano's, one can find the excellent example, due to Donagi, of a non-trivial fiber of the Griffiths map (see e.g. [C3]):

The general fiber $j^{-1}(J,\Theta)$ of the Griffiths map $j : \mathcal{DS}' \to \mathcal{A}_4$ for quartic double solids with 6 nodes is a 32-sheeted covering of a cubic threefold Y_3 defined uniquely by (J,Θ).

* * *

The author would like to thank to V.Iskovskikh and V.Shokurov – for introducing into the subject, to A.Verra and R.Donagi – for the numerous helpful conversations, and to S.Mukai – for the help in finding the group $Aut(W_5)$. He is much obliged to A.Tikhomirov, D.Logachev, S.Hashin, and Ju.Prokhorov – for their results on Fano's, which inspired him to write this paper.

A. The prehomogeneous Fano fourfold W, and the moduli space $\mathcal{X}_{10}$.

(A.0) Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be inetegers, and let $G(k+1, n+1) = G(k+1, \mathbf{C}^{n+1}) = G(k : n) = G(k : \mathbf{P}^n)$ be the Grassmannian of $k+1$-dimensional subspaces $\mathbf{C}^{k+1} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ = the Grassmannian of projective k-spaces $\mathbf{P}^k = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{k+1}) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}) = \mathbf{P}^n$.

Denote by $\wedge^{k+1}\ \mathbf{P}^n$ the projective space $\mathbf{P}(\wedge^{k+1}\ \mathbf{C}^{n+1})$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Let $\mathbf{C}^{n+1*}$ be the dual space of $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$, with respect to a pairing $(.,.) : \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$; and denote by $\mathbf{P}^{n*}$ the space $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1*})$.

Let $\mathbf{P}^0(0) \subset \mathbf{P}^1(0) \subset ... \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a fixed flag in $\mathbf{P}^n = \mathbf{P}^n(0)$. It is well-known (see e.g. [GH, §1.5]) that the complex Schubert cycles $\sigma_{a_1,...,a_{k+1}} = \sigma_{a_1,...,a_{k+1}}(\mathbf{P}^0(0), \mathbf{P}^1(0), ..., \mathbf{P}^n) = \{\mathbf{P}^k \subset \mathbf{P}^n : dim\ \mathbf{P}^k \cap \mathbf{P}^{n-k+i-a_i}(0) \geq i-1, i = 0, ..., k+1\} \subset G(k : \mathbf{P}^n)$, $n-k \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq ... \geq a_{k+1}$, generate the integer homology of $G(k : \mathbf{P}^n)$. Moreover, $\sigma_{a_1,...,a_{k+1}} \subset G(k : \mathbf{P}^n)$ is of real codimension $2(a_1 + ... + a_{k+1})$; and, in the homology ring $H^*(G(k : \mathbf{P}^n), \mathbf{Z})$, the cycles $\sigma_{a_1,..,a_{k+1}}$ intersect each other by the formulae of Pieri and Giambelli ([GH, §1.5]).

We shall use these formulae, as well the shorten notation of a Schubert cycle – in which we shall omit the entry $\mathbf{P}^k(0)$, if it imposes an empty condition on $\mathbf{P}^k$, or if the cycle is considered to be in general position.

Fix $\mathbf{P}^4$ and the Grassmannian $G = G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4)$. The wedge-map $\mathbf{P}^1 \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \mapsto \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^1 \subset \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^4$ defines the Plücker embedding $pl : G \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^9 := G(0 : \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^4) = \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^4$. The isomorphic image $G \subset \mathbf{P}^9$ is a smooth 6-fold with a hyperplane section defined by the linear system $\mid \sigma_{1,0} \mid$, and of degree $5 = deg\ \sigma_{1,0}^6$.

For a given 7-space $\mathbf{P}^7 \subset \mathbf{P}^9$, define $W(\mathbf{P}^7) := G \cap \mathbf{P}^7$. The variety $W(\mathbf{P}^7)$ is a 4-fold, since G does not contain subspaces of dimension ≥ 4; moreover $deg\ W(\mathbf{P}^7) = deg\ G = 5$.

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{P}^7 : W(\mathbf{P}^7)$ is smooth $\}$. It is well-known (see e.g. [Pr]) that all the fourfolds $W(\mathbf{P}^7)$ are projectively equivalent to each other; in fact, the same assertion follows from the uniqueness of the orbit representation of any smooth $W(\mathbf{P}^7)$ – see below.

Therefore, the projective equivalency class of these smooth fourfolds defines the "unique" smooth fourfold $W = W_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ – the Fano 4-fold of degree 5 in $\mathbf{P}^7$.

(A.1) *The family of planes on the fourfold* W_5.

The family of planes in $G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4 = G \subset \mathbf{P}^9$ has two components:

(1). the component of σ-planes $\sigma_{3,1}(x, \mathbf{P}^3) = \{\mathbf{P}^1 : x \in \mathbf{P}^1 \subset \mathbf{P}^3\}$, where $(x \in \mathbf{P}^3) \in$ the flag variety $F(0 : 3 : \mathbf{P}^4)$, and

(2). the component of ρ-planes $\sigma_{2,2}(\mathbf{P}^2) = \{\mathbf{P}^1 : \mathbf{P}^1 \subset \mathbf{P}^2\}$, where $\mathbf{P}^2 \in G(2 : \mathbf{P}^4)$.

Lemma. *Let $W = W_5 = G \cap \mathbf{P}^7 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ be the Fano fourfold of degree 5 in $\mathbf{P}^7$. Then:*

(i). *There exists a unique ρ-plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o = \sigma_{2,2}(\mathbf{P}^2(0)) = \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^2(0)$, which lie on W;*

(ii). *Let $\mathbf{P}^2(0) \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ be the "plane" of the unique ρ-plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o \subset W$. The family of σ-planes on W form a smooth rational 1-dimensional family $\{\mathbf{P}^2_t = \sigma_{3,1}(x(t), \mathbf{P}^3(t))\}$. The family of their centers $\{x(t) \in \mathbf{P}^4\}$, sweeps out a smooth conic $q(0) \subset \mathbf{P}^2(0) \subset \mathbf{P}^4$, and the family of their "spaces" $\{\mathbf{P}^3(t) \subset \mathbf{P}^4\}$ coincides with the pencil of $\mathbf{P}^3 - s$, in $\mathbf{P}^4$, through $\mathbf{P}^2(0)$.*

(iii). *Let $q(0) \subset \mathbf{P}^2(0)$ be the conic of centers from (ii), and let $q_o \subset \mathbf{P}^2_o$ be the conic of tangent lines to $q(0)$ – the dual conic of $q(0)$.*

Let $x(t) \in q(0)$ be the center of the σ-plane $\mathbf{P}^2_t$, let $l(t)$ be the tangent line to $q(0)$ in $\mathbf{P}^0(t)$, let $x_t = \wedge^2 l(t) \in q_o$ be the corresponding point in $q_o \subset \mathbf{P}^2_o \subset W$, and let l_t be the tangent line to q_o in x_t. Then the σ-plane $\mathbf{P}^2_t = \sigma_{3,1}(x(t), \mathbf{P}^3(t))$ intersects the unique ρ-plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o = \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^2(0) \subset W$ along the line l_t;

(iv). *Let $Y_o = \cup\{\mathbf{P}^2_t\} \subset W$ be the union of all the σ-planes on W. Then Y_o is a hyperplane section of W – the unique effective divisor of the non-complete linear system $\mid \mathcal{O}_W(2) - 2.\mathbf{P}^2_o \mid$.*

Remark. We will not write down here a proof (see e.g. [Pu]) of Lemma (A.1), since it will follow from the forthcoming coordinate study of W – where one can point at to the ρ-plane, to the σ-planes on W, and also – to the special hyperplane section $Y_o \subset W$ (see e.g. (A.2.4) and thereafter).

(A.2) *The coordinate representation of W.*

(A.2.1) Denote by $< M > \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ the projective-linear span of the subset $M \subset \mathbf{P}^n$. Let $\{e_0, ..., e_4\}$ and $\{x_0, ..., x_4\}$ be a dual pair of bases of $\mathbf{C}^5$ and $\mathbf{C}^{5*}$, with respect to the pairing $(.,.)$; i.e., in the notation (A.0), $< e_0, ..., e_4 >= \mathbf{P}^4$, and $< x_0, ..., x_4 >= \mathbf{P}^{4*}$. We call $\{e_i\}$ and $\{x_i\}$ also bases of $\mathbf{P}^4$ and of $\mathbf{P}^4*$.

Then $e_{ij} = e_i \wedge e_j = -e_j \wedge e_i = -e_{ji}$, and $\{e_{ij} : 0 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$ is a base of $\wedge^2\mathbf{P}^4 = \mathbf{P}^9$; the same is true for $x_{ij} = x_i \wedge x_j$, and for the base $\{x_{ij} : 0 \leq j < j \leq 4\}$, of $\wedge^2\mathbf{P}^{4*}$, which is naturally dual to $\{e_{ij} : 0 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$.

(A.2.2) Lemma. *Let $W = \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{P}^7$ be the Fano 4-fold of degree 5. Then one can choose the base vectors (e_i, x_j) in such way that $\mathbf{P}^7 \subset \mathbf{P}^9$ can be represented as the common set of zeros (the base space) of the pencil of hyperplane sections $\{H(t) = t_0.H_0 + t_1.H_1 : (t) = (t_0 : t_1) \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$, where*

$H_0 = x_{03} - x_{14}, H_1 = x_{04} - x_{23}$.

Proof. Since all the smooth $W(\mathbf{P}^7)$ are projectively equivalent to each other, it is sufficient to prove that the intersection $G \cap (H_0 = H_1 = 0)$ is smooth. **q.e.d.**

(A.2.3) Remark. Let $\hat{} : H^0(\wedge^2\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)) \to Skew(\mathbf{C}^5, \mathbf{C}^{5*})$, $H \mapsto \hat{H}$ be the natural linear isomorphism between the space $H^0(\wedge^2\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)) = (\wedge^2\ \mathbf{C}^5)^*$, of hyperplane sections of $\wedge^2\mathbf{P}^4$, and the space of skew-linear maps $Skew(\mathbf{C}^5, \mathbf{C}^{5*})$.

Then the base space of the pencil $< H_0, H_1 > \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2\ \mathbf{C}^5)^* = \wedge^2\mathbf{P}^{4*}$ will intersect smoothly the Grassmannian G *iff* all the elements of the pencil $< \hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_1 >$ are skew-symmetric maps of maximal rank (=4) (see e.g. [Put]). In coordinates (e_i, x_j), all the elements $h_{ij}(t)$, of the skew-symmetric matrix $\hat{H(t)} = (h_{ij}(t))$, are zero, except $h_{03} = -h_{30} = -h_{14} = h_{40} = t_0$, $h_{04} = -h_{40} = h_{23} = -h_{32} = t_1$, i.e. $rank\ \hat{H(t)} = 4, \forall (t) = (t_0 : t_1) \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Therefore $W = G \cap (H_0 = H_1 = 0)$ is smooth.

(A.2.4) *The ρ-plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o$, and the conic of centers $q(0)$.*

In the notation of (A.2.3), the projective kernels $x(t) = \mathbf{P}(Ker\ \hat{H(t)}) = (-t_0t_1 : -t_1^2 : t_0^2 : 0 : 0)$, sweep-out a smooth conic $q(0)$, in the plane $\mathbf{P}^2(0) :=< e_0, e_1, e_2 > \subset \mathbf{P}^4 = \mathbf{P}^4(x_0 : ... : x_4)$.

By the special choice of H_0 and H_1, the space $\mathbf{P}^7 = (H_0 = H_1 = 0) = < e_{01}, e_{01}, e_{12}, e_{03} + e_{14}, e_{04} + e_{23}, e_{13}, e_{24}, e_{34} >$. It is clear that the plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o =< e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{12} > = \wedge^2\ \mathbf{P}^2(0) = \wedge^2\ < e_0, e_1, e_2 >$ is the unique ρ-plane on W (see Lemma (A.1)(i)).

It is not hard to see that the conic of kernels $q(0)$ is the same as the conic of centers from Lemma (A.1)(ii). Now, (A.1)(iii) can be verified directly; and the hyperplane section Y_o, from (A.5)(iv), is $Y_o = W \cap (x_{34} = 0)$ – see also the proof of (A.5.1).

(A.3) *The group Aut* $G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4)$.

The group *Aut* $G(k : \mathbf{P}^n)$ is well-known. It is naturally isomorphic either to *Aut* $\mathbf{P}^n = \mathbf{PGL}(n+1)$ – if $n+1 \neq 2(k+1)$, or *Aut* $\mathbf{P}^n$ is a normal subgroup of index 2 in *Aut* $G(k : \mathbf{P}^n)$ – if $n + 1 = 2(k + 1)$ (see e.g. [M.J.Cowen, Proc.A.M.S.,106:1(1989),99-106], [W.Kaup, Math.Z.,144(1975),75-96]).

In fact, $G(k : \mathbf{P}^n) = G(k + 1, n + 1) \cong$ the homogeneous space $GL(n + 1)/GL(k + 1) \times GL(n - k)$, and either the automorphism group of $G(k + 1, n + 1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}(GL(n + 1)) = \mathbf{PGL}(n + 1)$, or $k + 1 = n - 1$ and the isomorphism – between the factors of the group at the quotient – generate an additional involution in $G(k + 1, n + 1)$ (see e.g. [W.L.Chow,Ann.of Math.,50(1949),32-67]).

Let, in particular, $G = G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4)$; and let, as usual, $\mathbf{P}^4$ be the projectivization of the vector space $\mathbf{C}^5$.

The natural isomorphism $\wedge^2 : Aut\ \mathbf{P}^4 \to Aut\ G$ is the projectivization of the linear map $A \mapsto \wedge^2\ A$, $A \in GL(5) = Aut(\mathbf{C}^5)$, defined on the decomposable bivectors $u \wedge v$, in $\mathbf{C}^5$, by: $\wedge^2\ A\ (u \wedge v) = A(u) \wedge A(v)$. Since G coincides with the projectivization of the variety of decomposable bivectors, $\wedge^2\ A$ is an automorphism of G. The statement is that these $\wedge^2\ A$ are the automorphisms of G.

(A.4) *The group of projective automorphisms* $Aut_o(W)$.

We shall find the group $Aut_o(W)$ of these biregular automorphisms of W which are induced by automorphisms of $G \supset W$.

(A.4.1) By definition,

$Aut_o(W) = \{\alpha \in Aut\ \mathbf{P}^7 : \exists \alpha' \in Aut\ G = G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4) \text{ s.t. } \alpha = \alpha'_{|W}\}$.

Fix the bases (e_i, x_j) as in lemma (A.2.2). In particular,

(1). $\mathbf{P}^7 = (x_{03} - x_{14} = x_{04} = x_{23} = 0 = < v_1 := e_{01}, v_2 := e_{01}, v_3 := e_{12}, v_4 := e_{03} + e_{14}, v_5 := e_{04} + e_{23}, v_6 := e_{13}, v_7 := e_{24}, v_8 := e_{34} >$.

As it follows from (A.3), $Aut_o(W)$ is naturally embedded in *Aut* $\mathbf{P}^4 = \mathbf{PGL}(5)$, and

(2). $Aut_o(W) = \{A \in Aut\ \mathbf{P}^4 : \wedge^2\ A\ (\mathbf{P}^7) = \mathbf{P}^7\} =$

$\{A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{PGL}(5) : \wedge^2 A(v_i)_{03} - \wedge^2 A(v_i)_{14} = \wedge^2 A(v_i)_{04} - \wedge^2 A(v_i)_{23} = 0, i = 1, ..., 8\}$.

(3). In the coordinates (e_i, x_j),

$\wedge^2(A) = \wedge^2(a_{kl}) : e_{ij} \mapsto \Sigma_{0 \leq k < l \leq 4}(a_{ik}a_{jl} - a_{il}a_{jk})e_{kl}$.

The substitution of (3) in (2) gives a system of 16 quadratic equations for the elements a_{kl} of A – two equations for any base vector v_i of $\mathbf{P}^7$.

In order to solve this system, we use the following

(A.4.2) Lemma. *The group* $Aut_o(W) \subset \mathbf{PGL}(5)$ *is a subgroup of the parabolic group* $St\ < e_0, e_1, e_2 > \subset \mathbf{PGL}(5)$.

Proof. According to (A.2.4), the plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o = < e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{12} > = \wedge^2\ < e_0, e_1, e_2 >$ is the unique ρ-plane in W. Since the elements of $Aut_o(W)$ are projective-linear maps of $\mathbf{P}^7 \supset W$, they leave invariant the set $\mathcal{P}$ of planes on W. According to lemma (A.1), $\mathcal{P} = C \cup P$ is a disjoint union of a smooth rational curve C – representing the family of σ-planes on

W, and a point P – representing the unique ρ-plane on W. Therefore, any element α of $Aut_o(W)$ defines an automorphism of $\mathcal{P} = C \cup P$, i.e., α must leave the point P and the curve C invariant. Since $\alpha = \wedge^2 A$, for some $A \in \mathbf{PGL}(5)$, the canonical isomorphism $< e_0, e_1, e_2 > \cong \wedge^2 < e_0, e_1, e_2 >$ gives that A must leave the plane $< e_0, e_1, e_2 >$ invariant whenever $\wedge^2 A$ leaves the plane $< e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{12} >$ invariant **q.e.d.**

(A.4.3) *Coordinate description of $Aut_o(W)$.*

Now, the system of quadratic equations (A.4.1)(2)-(3), for the elements a_{ij} of $A \in Aut_o(W) \subset \mathbf{PGL}(5)$, can be easily solved:

In the coordinates (e_i, x_j), the (5×5)-matrix A takes the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X & U \\ O & G \end{pmatrix} mod.\mathbf{C}^*, \text{ where :}$$

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{43} \\ a_{34} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{PGL}(2) = \mathbf{PSL}(2) = SL(2)/\mathbf{Z_2},$$

and we can let $det(G) = ad - bc = 1$;

$$X = \lambda.Symm^2(G), \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*, \text{ where } Symm^2(G) = \begin{pmatrix} ad+bc & ac & bd \\ 2ab & a^2 & b^2 \\ 2cd & c^2 & d^2 \end{pmatrix};$$

and the 2×3-matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a_{30} & a_{40} \\ a_{31} & a_{41} \\ a_{32} & a_{42} \end{pmatrix}$$

lies in the 4-space $\mathbf{C}^4(G)$, defined by the condition: $\wedge^2 A(e_{34}) \in \mathbf{P}^7$, for $v_8 = e_{34}$, i.e.:

(*).

$\wedge^2 A(e_{34})_{03} - \wedge^2 A(e_{34})_{14} = (a_{30}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{40}) - (a_{31}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{41}) = ba_{30} - aa_{40} - da_{31} + ca_{41} = 0,$

$\wedge^2 A(e_{34})_{04} - \wedge^2 A(e_{34})_{23} = (a_{30}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{40}) - (a_{32}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{42}) = da_{30} - ca_{40} - ba_{32} + aa_{42} = 0.$

(**A**.**4**.**4**) Denote the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda.Symm^2(G) & U \\ 0 & G \end{pmatrix}$ by $A = (\lambda, U, G)$.

Proposition. *The group $Aut_o(W)$ is an extension of $\mathbf{PGL}(2)$ by the semidirect product $\mathsf{G}_m \triangleright \mathsf{G}_a^4$:*

(*). $1 \to \mathsf{G}_m \triangleright \mathsf{G}_a^4 \to Aut_o(W) \to 0,$

where G_m is the multiplicative group of $\mathbf{C}$, G_a^4 is the additive group of the complex 4-space, and:

(a). *The induced, by the matrix multiplication in $GL(5)$, action of the multiplicative subgroup $\mathsf{G}_m = \{[\lambda] := (\lambda, 0; E_2) : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*\} \subset Aut_o(W)$, on the additive subgroup*

$$\mathsf{G}_a^4 = \{[u \mid v \mid x \mid y] := (1, E_2; U),\ U = \begin{pmatrix} -u & -v \\ v & x \\ y & u \end{pmatrix} : (u, v, x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^4\}$$

is: $[\lambda] : [u \mid v \mid x \mid y]) \mapsto [\lambda.u \mid \lambda.v \mid \lambda.x \mid \lambda.y]$*;*

(b). *In the notation of (a):*

(b.1). The multiplicative product, in $\mathsf{G}_m \subset Aut_o(W)$*, induced by the matrix multiplication in* $GL(5)$*, is:* $[\lambda_1] \,.\, [\lambda_2] = [\lambda_1.\lambda_2]$*,*

(b.2). The additive product, in $\mathsf{G}_a^4 \subset Aut_o(W)$*, induced by the matrix multiplication in* $GL(5)$*, is:* $[u_1 \mid v_1 \mid x_1 \mid y_1] \,.\, [u_2 \mid v_2 \mid x_2 \mid y_2] = [u_1.u_2 \mid v_1.v_2 \mid x_1.x_2 \mid y_1.y_2]$*.*

Proof. Straightforward.

For example, equations (A.4.3)(*) imply that $A = (a_{ij}) = (1, E_2; U) \in Aut_o(W)$, i.e., $A \in \mathsf{G}_a^4 \subset Aut_o(W)$, *iff* $a_{30} + a_{42} = a_{40} + a_{31} = 0$, i.e. *iff* U has the form prescribed in (a).

(A.5) *The orbits of* $Aut_o(W)$ *on* W*.*

(A.5.0) As it follows from the proof of lemma (A.4.2), the action of $Aut_o(W)$ on W must leave invariant the unique ρ-plane $\mathbf{P}_o^2 =< e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{12} >\subset W$, as well – the $\mathbf{P}^1$-family $\{\mathbf{P}_t^2\}$ of σ-planes on W. Since the σ-planes on W sweep out the special hyperplane section Y_o of W (see (A.1)), $Aut_o(W)$ leaves the threefold $Y_o \subset W$ invariant. It can be also seen that the special conic q_o is also an $Aut_o(W)$-invariant subvariety of W (see below). In fact, we shall prove more: the subsets $W - Y_o$, $Y_o - \mathbf{P}_o^2$, $\mathbf{P}_o^2$, and q_o are orbits of the action of $Aut_o(W)$ on W.

Let $v \in W$. Denote by $Orb\ v \subset W$ the *orbit* of v, under the action of the group $Aut_o(W)$, i.e.:

$Orb\ v = \{\alpha(v) : \alpha \in Aut_o(W)\}$.

(A.5.1) Proposition. *Let* $W = G \cap \mathbf{P}^7$, $G = G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4) \subset \wedge^2 \mathbf{P}^4 = \mathbf{P}^9$, $\mathbf{P}^7 = (x_{03} - x_{14} = x_{04} - x_{23} = 0)$ *be the coordinate representation of the Fano fourfold* $W = W_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$*. Let* $\mathbf{P}_o^2$ *and* $\{\mathbf{P}_t^2\}$ *be the unique* ρ*-plane and the pencil of* σ*-planes on* W*, let* $Y_o = \cup\{\mathbf{P}_t^2\} \supset \mathbf{P}_o^2$ *be the special hyperplane section of* W*, and let* $q_o \subset \mathbf{P}_o^2$ *be the special conic on* W *(see (A.1)). Then, in the coordinates* (e_i, x_j) *from (A.2.2):*

(1). $\mathbf{P}_o^2 =< e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{12} >$*, and:*

– the conic $q_o \subset \mathbf{P}^2(x_{01} : x_{02} : x_{12})$ *has the equation:* $q_o = (x_{12}^2 - 4.x_{01}x_{02} = 0)$*;*

– the hyperplane section $Y_o \subset W$ *is defined by:* $Y_o = (x_{34} = 0)$*.*

(2). *The disjoint union*

$W = (W - Y_o) \cup (Y_o - \mathbf{P}_o^2) \cup \mathbf{P}_o^2) \cup q_o$

coincides with the orbit stratification of W*, under the action of the group* $Aut_o(W)$*.*

In particular, $W - Y_o = Orb\ e_{34}$*,* $Y_o - \mathbf{P}_o^2 = Orb\ e_{13}$*,* $\mathbf{P}_o^2 - q_o = Orb\ e_{12}$*, and* $q_o = Orb\ e_{02}$*.*

Proof. The proposition will be proved, if we show that the orbits of $e_{34}, e_{13}, e_{12}, e_{02}$, and the corresponding subsets in (2) coincide.

To begin with, we shall find $Orb\ e_{34}$.

Lemma. The stabilizer $St = St(e_{34}) \subset Aut_o(W)$ coincides with the extension

(**). $1 \to \mathsf{G}_m \to St \to \mathbf{PGL}(2) \to 0$, induced by restriction from $(A.4.4)(*)$, on the subgroup $\mathsf{G}_m \cong \mathsf{G}_m \rhd \{(1,0;E_2)\} \subset \mathsf{G}_m \rhd \mathsf{G}_a^4$, i.e., in the notation from (A.4.4),i.e.:

$St(e_{34}) = \{(\lambda, 0; G) : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*, G \in \mathbf{PGL}(2)\} =: \mathsf{G}_m \odot \mathbf{PGL}(2)$.

Proof. Straightforward.

As it follows from the lemma,

$Orb\ e_{34} = C^4(e_{34}) = [u \mid v \mid x \mid y]\,(e_{34}) : ((u,v,x,y) \in \mathbf{C}^4$,

where the action of $[u \mid v \mid x \mid y]$ on e_{34} is induced by the action of $Aut_o(W)$ on W – i.e. – by the 2-nd wedge product of the matrix multiplication in $GL(5)$.

Since

$$[u \mid v \mid x \mid y]\,(e_{34}) = \wedge^2(1, E_2; U)\ (e_{34}),\ \text{where } U = \begin{pmatrix} -u & -v \\ v & x \\ y & u \end{pmatrix},\ \text{then:}$$

$[u \mid v \mid x \mid y]\,(e_{34}) =$

$(v^2 - ux).e_{01} + (vy - u^2).e_{02} + (uv - xy).e_{12} + v.(e_{03} + e_{14}) - u.(e_{04} + e_{23}) - x.e_{13} + y.e_{24} + e_{34}$, where (u,v,x,y)$\in \mathbf{C}^4$.

Therefore $Orb\ e_{34} = W - (x_{34} = 0)$ – since the coefficient at e_{34} is always non-zero.

Clearly, the action of the 4-dimensional additive group C^4, on the 4-dimensional orbit $Orb\ e_{34}$, is transitive. Therefore the complement $W - Orb\ e_{34} = W \cap (x_{34} = 0) \subset W$ can be the only $Aut_o(W)$ invariant threefold in W. However, there is already one $Aut_o(W)$-invariant threefold in W – the special hyperplane section $Y_o =$ (the union of σ-planes on W). Therefore $Y_o = W \cap (x_{34} = 0)$.

The orbits of $e_{13} \in Y_o - \mathbf{P}^2_o$, $e_{12} \in \mathbf{P}^2_o$, and $e_{02} \in q_o$ can be found in a similar way.

For example, the action of $Aut_o(W)$, on the orbit of any $v \in \mathbf{P}^2_o$, is reduced to the action of $Aut_o(W)$ on the invariant plane $\mathbf{P}^2_o =< e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{12} >$. In particular,

$Orb\ e_{12} = Aut_o(W)(e_{01}) = \wedge^2\ Symm^2\ (\mathbf{PGL}(2))\ (e_{01}) =$

$\{\wedge^2\ Symm^2\ G\ (e_{12}) : G \in \mathbf{PGL}(2)\} = \{-ab.e_{01} + cd.e_{02} + (ad + bc).e_{12} : ad - bc = 1\}/\mathbf{C}^*$, where $a = a_{33}, b = a_{43}, c = a_{34}, d = a_{44}$ are the coefficients of the matrix G; and we have let $ad - bc = 1$, since $\mathbf{PGL}(2) = \mathbf{PSL}(2)$.

Therefore, $Orb\ e_{12} = (-ab : cd : ad + bc) : ad - bc \neq 0 = \mathbf{P}^2_o - (x^2_{12} - 4.x_{01}x_{02} = 0)$,

since $(ad + bc)^2 - 4.(-ab).cd = (ad - bc)^2$, which is always $\neq 0$ for $G \in \mathbf{PGL}(2)$.

In fact, the group $Symm^2\ \mathbf{PGL}(2)$, which carries out the action of $Aut_o(W)$ on $\mathbf{P}^2_o$, is the same as the projective orthogonal group $\mathbf{PSO}(3)$ attached to the quadratic form $Q_o = x^2_{12} - 4.x_{01}x_{02}$. Therefore, the reduced action of $Aut_o(W)$ on $\mathbf{P}^2_o$ has two orbits: $\mathbf{P}^2_o - (Q_o = 0)$, and $(Q_o = 0)$.

Now, it is not hard to see that the conic $(Q_0 = 0)$ coincides with the special conic $q_o \subset \mathbf{P}^2_o$. It is enough to see that $q_o \subset \mathbf{P}^2_o$ is $Aut_o(W)$-invariant, since our $\mathbf{PSO}(3)$ has $(Q_o = 0)$ as its unique invariant conic.

According to the proof of (A.4.2), the action of $Aut_o(W)$, on W, induces an action on the rational pencil of σ-planes on W, as well – an action on the conic $q(0) \subset< e_0, e_1, e_2 >\subset \mathbf{P}^4$, which $q(0)$ is swept-out by their vertices (see (A.1)). Since $q_o \subset \wedge^2 < e_0, e_1, e_2 >$ is, in fact, the same as the dual conic to $q(0)$, q_o is also invariant.

In addition, the action of $Aut_o(W)$ on q_o is transitive, since the action of its restriction $\mathbf{PSO}(3) = \mathbf{PSO}(3, Q_o)$, on $q_o = (Q_o = 0)$ is transitive. **q.e.d.**

It follows from (A.5.1) that W contains a dense $Aut_o(W)$-orbit, e.g. – the orbit of e_{34}. That is, W is a *prehomogeneous space* under the action of $Aut_o(W)$ (see e.g. [M.Sato, T.Kimura, Nagoya Math. J., 65 (1977), 1-155], or [Gua]). In particular, W is a closure of the quotient space $Orb(e_{34}) = Aut_o(W)/(\mathsf{G}_m \odot \mathbf{PGL}(2))$, and any biregular automorphism of W is induced by a multiplication by a fixed element of $Aut_o(W)$.

This implies the following:

(A.5.2) Corollary. *Let $Aut(W)$ be the group of biregular automorphisms of the Fano 4-fold $W = W_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$. Then $Aut(W) = Aut_o(W)$.*

(A.6) *The moduli space $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ of X_{10}.*

(A.6.0) *Definition of $\mathcal{X}_{10}$.*

As is known, (see (I.0)), any non-hyperelliptic Fano threefold X_{10} of degree 10 is a complete intersections of $G = G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$ by a supspace $\mathbf{p}^7$ and a quadric. Moreover, if the intersection $G \cap \mathbf{P}^7$ is smooth, then $G \cap \mathbf{P}^7$ is projectively equivalent to the unique smooth Fano 4-fold $W = W_5$; i.e., the general X_{10} is an effective divisor of the linear system $| \mathcal{O}_W(2) |$. This justifies the choice to let:

$\mathcal{X}_{10} := \{X_{10} \subset W\}/ \cong$

be the moduli space of Fano 3-folds of degree 10, where $\cong$ denotes the congruence relation induced by the biregular equivalence of threefolds.

(A.6.1) Lemma. *The general X_{10} has no non-trivial biregular automorphisms, i.e. $Aut\ X_{10} = \{id\}$.*

Proof. Let Γ be the automorphism group of the general X_{10}. Then Γ will be a subgroup of *Aut* X_{10} for any X_{10}. In particular, let $X_{10} = X_{10}(0)$ be a general intersection of W and a reducible quadric $\mathcal{Q}(0) = H' + H''$, i.e. – H' and H'' are two hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^7$ – in general position. Therefore $X_{10}(0) = Y' \cup Y''$, where $Y' = W \cap H'$, $Y'' = W \cap H''$. In particular, *Aut* $(Y' \cup Y'') \supset \Gamma$.

Since H' and H' are general, Y' and Y'' are projectively equivalent to the unique smooth Fano threefold $Y = Y_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ (see e.g. [Isk], [MU], [PS1], [FN]). As is well-known that the action of *Aut* Y_5 stratifies Y_5 into a disjoint union of 3 orbits:

$Y_5 = (Y_5 - S_o) \cup (S_o - C_o) \cup C_o,$

where C_o is a special rational normal sextic on Y_5, and S_o is a special quadratic section of Y_5; S_o coincides with the union of the tangent lines of C_o. Moreover, the restriction *Aut* $Y_5 \to$ *Aut* $C_o = \mathbf{PGL}(2)$ is an isomorphism (ibid.)

Let $S_5 = Y' \cap Y''$ be the intersection of the components of $X_{10}(0)$. Since H' and H'' are general, S_5 is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 5, embedded anticanonically in $\mathbf{P}^5_o = H' \cap H''$. Let the "flags" $F' := C'_o \subset S''_o \subset Y'$, and $F'' := C'_o \subset S''_o \subset Y''$ be the copies of $F := C_o \subset S_o \subset Y_5$, on Y' and Y'', and let $\alpha \in$ *Aut* $(Y' \cup Y'')$. Then: either α interchanges F' and F'', or α acts trivially on the pair (F', F''). Moreover, α must leave the intersection $S_5 = Y' \cap Y''$ invariant.

In particular, let $\{x'_i\} = \{x'_1, ..., x'_6\} = C' \cap S_5$, and $\{x''_i\} = \{x''_1, ..., x''_6\} = C'' \cap S_5$. Then: either α interchanges the 6-tuples $\{x'_i\}$ and $\{x'_i\}$, or α leaves each of them invariant

– depending on the action of α on the pair (F', F'').

In either of the two possible cases, α defines, by restriction, an automorphism α_o of the curve $C_o \cong \mathbf{P}^1$. Let $\{x'_{io}\} \subset C_o$ and $\{x''_{io}\} \subset C_o$ be the copies of the 6-tuples $\{x'_i\} \subset C'_o$ and $\{x''_i\} \subset C''_o$, on C_o. Therefore: either α_o interchanges $\{x'_{io}\}$ and $\{x''_{io}\}$, or α_o leaves either of them invariant. However, the general choice of H' and H' implies that $\{x'_i\}$ and $\{x''_i\}$, are general hyperplane sections of the rational normal sextics C' and C''. Therefore $\{x'_{io}\}$ and $\{x''_{io}\}$, are general hyperplane sections (i.e. – general 6-tuples of points) of $C_o \cong \mathbf{P}^1$. Therefore, $\alpha_o \in \mathbf{PGL}(2)$ can be only the identity of $\mathbf{PGL}(2)$. This implies that α does not interchange F' and F'', i.e. $\alpha_{|Y'} \subset Aut\ Y'$, and $\alpha_{|Y''} \subset Aut\ Y'$. Moreover, $\alpha_{|Y'} = id_{Y'}$, and $\alpha_{|Y''} = id_{Y''}$ – since $\alpha_{|C'} = id$, and $\alpha_{|C''} = id$ – see above. **q.e.d.**

(A.6.2) Corollary. *Let* $Aut(W) = Aut_o(W)$ *be the* 8*-dimensional automorphism group of the Fano* 4*-fold* $W = W_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ *(see (A.5.2)), and let* $\mathcal{X}_{10} = \mid \mathcal{O}_W(2) \mid /\cong$ *be the moduli space of (non-hyperelliptic) Fano* 3*-folds of degree* 10*. Then the moduli space* $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ *is birational to the* 22*-dimensional quotient space* $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{10} = \mid \mathcal{O}_W(2) \mid /Aut_o(W)$.

L. Line-transformations.

(L.0) Let $X = X_{10} \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be general. In this section we prove that the general line $l \subset X$ defines a birational isomorphism $\beta_l : X \to X_l$, where $X_l \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$. It will follow from the forthcoming that:

(i). X_l is not biregular to X (see section F);

(ii). the map β_l is "involutive", i.e., the pair (X, l) defines uniquely a line $\underline{l} \subset X_l$ such that $X = \beta_{\underline{l}}(X_l)$;

(iii). X and X_l have the same intermediate jacobian.

In particular, (i),(ii), and (iii) imply that the map $\beta : \Gamma(X) \to \mathcal{X}_{10}$, $\beta : l \mapsto X_l$ defines generically an embedding of the curve of lines $\Gamma(X)$ into the fiber $j^{-1}(j(X))$ of the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{J}_{10}$.

The description of the map β_l is based on the existence of a special D-flop ρ, over the image of the projection of X from l. The discourse repeats in many ways the modern translation of the double projection from a line (see [Isk6],[Isk7]); however, the difference between line transformations and double projections, reflects in the special choice of the divisor D defining ρ. Note that, in our particular situation, the double projection from l contracts X to a point.

(L.1) *The* 1*-dimensional family of lines* $\Gamma(X)$*.*

(L.1.1) Lemma. *Let* $X = X_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ *be general, and let* $\Gamma = \Gamma(X)$ *be the family of lines on* X*. Then:*

(i). Γ *is a smooth irreducible curve (of genus* 71*);*

(ii). *Let* $l \in \Gamma$ *be general. Then the normal bundle* $N_{l|X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$*;*

(iii). *Let* $l \in \Gamma$ *be general. Then there are exactly* 11 *lines* $l_1, ..., l_{11}$ *on* X *which intersect* l*,* $l_i \neq l$*,* $1 \leq i \leq 11$.

(L.1.2) Remark. The statement from (iii) is well-known (see e.g. [Pu], or [D.Markushevich, Math. USSR - Sbornik, 44(2), (1981), 239-260]).

Part (ii) will be a direct corollary from general results about lines on Fano 3-folds of index 1 – provided (i) is true, esp. – if one proves that Γ is a smooth curve (see e.g. [Isk6, Prop.7.5]).

Part (i) will be true, if one knows the same for some particular smooth deformations of X_{10}, in an appropriate compactification of $\mathcal{X}_{10}$. Such kind of smooth deformation from the general X_{10} is the Gushel 3-fold X'_{10} – the double covering of the smooth Fano 3-fold $Y_5 = G(2,5) \cap \mathbf{P}^6$, branched along an intersection of Y_5 and a quadric (see e.g. [Gus]). Now, the fact that Γ is a smooth irreducible curve for the general Gushel 3-fold, has been proved in [Ili2]. This implies (i).

(L.2) *The projection from a general line* $l \subset X$*.*

(L.2.1) *Notation.*

Let $l \subset X = X_{10}$ be a general line (in particular $N_{l|X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$). Let H_X be the hyperplane section of X, and let $\pi_l : X \to X''$ be the rational map defined by the non-complete linear system $| \, H_X - l \, |$, i.e. π_l is the projection from l. Let $\sigma_l : X' \to X$ be the blow-up of l, and let $L' = L'_X = \sigma^{-1}(l) \subset X'$ be the exceptional divisor of σ_l; in particular, the ruled surface L'_X is isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1})$. Denote by $H' = H'_X = H^*_X - L'$

the proper preimage of the hyperplane section $H_X \supset l$, $H_X^* = \sigma^*(H_X)$. Let $\pi_l' : X' \to X''$ be the composition $\pi' = \pi \circ \sigma_l$. Clearly, $\pi_l' = \phi_{H'}$, where $\phi_{H'} : X' \to (\mid H_X' \mid)^* = (\mid H_X^* - L' \mid)^*$ is the map defined by the linear system of H_X' on X'.

(L.2.2) Lemma (see [Isk6, ch.8]).

(i). *The image $X'' \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ is a singular intersection of a quadric and a cubic; and the only singularities of X'' are the* 11 *isolated normal singular points x_i – the projections of the* 11 *lines l_i, $i = 1, ..., 11$ which intersect l (see (L.1));*

(ii). *The map $\pi_l' = \phi_{H'}$ is regular (= a morphism), i.e. $\phi_{H'}$ is a resolution of the projection π_l;*

(iii). *Denote the proper σ_l-preimages of the lines $l_1, ..., l_{11}$ by $l_1', ..., l_{11}'$. Then the the morphism $\phi_{H'}$ is an isomorphism outside the codim.*2*-cycle $l_1' \cup ... \cup l_{11}'$, and $\phi_{H'}$ contracts l_i' to the point x_i, i = 1,...,11.*

(L.3) *The surface $M_X' \subset X'$.*

Let $X = X_{10}$ and $l \subset X$, $L' = L_X' = \sigma^{-1}(l)$, etc. be as above.

(L.3.1) Proposition. *There exists a unique effective divisor $M_X' \in \mid H_X' - L_X' \mid = \mid H_X^* - 2L_X' \mid$, and M_X' is the proper preimage of the surface*

$M_X =$ *(the closure of)* $\cup\{C -$ *a curve on* $X : degC = 3, p_a(C) = 0, \#(C \cap l) = 2\}$*; i.e., M_X is the union of twisted cubics on X intersecting twice the line l.*

(L.3.2) Remarks.

(a). – see [Isk1]. The threefold X_{10} belongs to the list of Fano threefolds of index $r = 1$, and of the *1-st series*: $X_{2g-2} \subset \mathbf{P}^{g+1}$, $6 \leq g \leq 12, g \neq 11$. Here g is the *genus* and $d = 2g - 2$ is the *degree* of the threefold. In particular, if $X = X_{10}$. i.e. $g = 6$, the existence of M_X – the unique element of the system $\mid H_X - 2.l \mid$ follows from the general equality $h^o(H_{X_{2g-2}} - 2.l) = g - 6$ (see [Isk6],[Isk7]). In fact, the description of M_X presented below, proves both – the existence and the uniqueness of such a surface.

(b). Clearly, any twisted cubic $C \subset X$ which intersects twice l (if such a curve on X exists) must lie on M_X, since $C.M_X = C.(H_X - 2l) = deg(C) - 2.\#(C \cap l) = -1$.

Here we give an alternative proof of the lemma, based on (b), and on the geometry of the Grassmannian $G(2,5) \supset X = X_{10}$. This will be of use for the description of the properties of the "line" transformation.

Proof of (L.3.1). = *A description of* M_X.

Being a line on $X \subset G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4)$, l corresponds to a fixed Schubert cycle of lines $\lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^4$: $l = \sigma_{3,2}(x_l, \mathbf{P}_l^2) = \{\lambda : x_l \in \lambda \subset \mathbf{P}_l^2\}$. Here $\mathbf{P}_l^2 \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ is the "plane" of the Grassmann line l, and $x_l \in \mathbf{P}_l^2$ is the "center" of l.

Let $Y_o \subset X$ be the special hyperplane section of $X = X_{10}$ (see section A). The (general) line l intersects Y_o in a unique point λ_l, which does not lie on the special conic $q_o \subset Y_o$ (see section C). Since, outside q_o, Y_o is a disjoint union of the σ-conics on X (see section C), there exists a unique σ-conic $q_l \subset X$ which passes through λ_l, and q_l can be considered to be nonsingular – because of the generality of the choice of l. Let y_l be the center of the σ-conic q_l, i.e. – y_l is the vertex of the quadratic cone $Gr(q_l) = \cup\{\lambda \in G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4) : \lambda \in q_l\}$. Clearly, (1). $y_l \neq x_l$ – since $y_l \in q_o$, and (2). $y_l \in \mathbf{P}_l^2$ – since the line $\lambda_l = l \cap q_l$ must pass through both centers x_l and y_l.

Consider the line pencil $\bar{l} = \sigma_{3,2}(y_l, \mathbf{P}^2_l) \subset G(1 : \mathbf{P}^4)$. Clearly, $\bar{l}$ does not lie on X. Indeed, the center y_l of $\bar{l}$ is the same as the center of q_l, q_l is non-singular, and the only elements of the cycle $\sigma_{3,0}(y_l) \cap X$ (of lines in $\mathbf{P}^4$, which pass through Y_l, and which belong to X) must be elements of the σ-conic q_l: otherwise $X = W_5 \cap Q$ must contain the plane $\sigma_{3,0}(y_l) \cap W_5$.

Let $\lambda \in \bar{l}$ be general. Now, by the elementary Schubert calculus on $X \cong 2.(\sigma_{1,0})^3$, we obtain that the 1-cycle $\tilde{C}_\lambda = \sigma_{2,0}(\lambda) \cap X = \{\mu \in X : \mu \cap \lambda \neq \oslash\}$ is a projectively normal connected and reduced curve, and $\tilde{C}_\lambda$ has invariants $p_a = 2$, $deg = 6$. However, l and q_l must be always components of $\tilde{C}_\lambda$. Indeed: (1). $\lambda \in \bar{l}$ lies in $\mathbf{P}^2_l$, therefore any element of l intersects λ; (2). $y_l \in \lambda$, therefore any element of q_l intersects λ – in y_l.

Therefore, $deg(C_\lambda) = deg(\tilde{C}_\lambda) - deg(q_l) - deg(l) = 3$. The curve C_λ must be projective normal, since X – being an intersection of quadrics – does not contain plane cubics; and the general X does not contain planes – since *rank* $\mathbf{Pic}(X) = 1$. Now, by using similar arguments as above, one can see that C_λ intersects twice l.

The same result can be derived, if we use the pencil of $\mathbf{P}^3 - s$ through the plane $\mathbf{P}^2_l$. Indeed, let $\mathbf{P}^3(\lambda)$ be an element of the pencil of hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^4$ which pass through $\mathbf{P}^2_l$. Then the elementary considerations from the Schubert calculus on $G(2,5)$ imply that the curve $\tilde{C}(\lambda) = \sigma_{1,1}(\mathbf{P}^3(\lambda)).X = \sigma_{1,1}.2(\sigma_{1,0})^3$ is a quartic of arithmetical genus 1. In our case, l is always a component of $\tilde{C}(\lambda)$. Therefore the curve $C(\lambda) := \tilde{C}(\lambda) - l$ is a twisted cubic on X which must intersect twice the residue component l.

It is also not hard to see that any twisted cubic $C \subset X$ which intersect l twice must be one of the pencil $\{C_\lambda : \lambda \in \bar{l}\}$.

Moreover, if $C = C_\lambda$ is such a curve, then any element $\mu \in C$ must intersect the plane $\mathbf{P}^2_l$ – since μ intersects $\lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^2_l$. Therefore the surface $M_X \subset X$ lies in the special hyperplane section $H_l = \sigma_{1,0}(\mathbf{P}^2_l) \cap X$. Since *rank* $\mathbf{Pic(X)} = 1$, M_X and H_l must coincide. Since the Schubert cycle $\sigma_{1,0}(\mathbf{P}^2_l) \subset G(2,5)$ is tangent to $G(2,5)$ along the plane $\mathbf{P}^2_l$, the hyperplane section $M_X = H_l$ belongs to the linear system $\mid H_X - 2.l \mid$. Clearly, M_X is the only effective divisor in this linear system, since any other effective divisor in it must contain the pencil of curves $\{C_\lambda\}$. The proper preimage M'_X of M_X is the only element of the system $\mid H'_X - L'_X \mid = \mid H^*_X - 2L'_X \mid$. **q.e.d.**

(L.4) *The linear system* $\mid 2H^*_X - 3L'_X \mid$.

(L.4.1) It can be immediately seen that the linear system $\mid 2H^*_X - 3L'_X \mid = \mid 2H'_X - L'_X \mid$ is nonempty. Indeed, let m'_X be the section which defines M'_X. Then, after tensoring by m'_X, one obtains the natural embedding $\mathbf{C}^6 \cong H^o(H'_X) \subset \mid 2H'_X - L'_X \mid$. Clearly, any such section defines reducible divisors, and any reducible divisor of the last system is defined by a section of this form.

(L.4.2) Proposition. $h^o(2H'_X - L'_X) \geq 8$.

Proof. The linear system $\mid H'_X \mid$ defines the morphism $\phi_{H'} = \pi'_l : X' \to X''$, where $X'' \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ is an intersection of a quadric and a cubic (see (L.2.2)(i)). The kernel $\mathbf{K}$ of the natural map $\psi : S^2H^o(H'_X) \to H^o(2H'_X)$ is 1-dimensional – it is spanned on the only quadric which passes through X''. Therefore, $dim.H^o(2H'_X) \geq dim.\psi(S^2(H^o(H'_X - L'_X))) = 20$.

Consider the sequence

(*). $0 \to 2H'_X - L'_X \to 2H'_X \to 2H'_X|_{L'_X} \to 0$

Let s' be the (-1)-section, and f' be the fiber of the surface $L'_X = \mathbf{F}_1$. It can be seen (see [Isk6, (8.3)]) that $H'_X|_{L'_X} = s' + 2f'$. Now, the inequality $h^o(2H'_X) \geq 20$, and **(*)** imply:

$h^o(2H'_X - L'_X) \geq h^o(2H'_X) - h^o(2s' + 4f') \geq 20 - 12 = 8$. **q.e.d.**

(L.4.3) Proposition. *The linear system* $\mid 2H'_X - L' \mid$ *has no fixed component, and the base set of* $\mid 2H'_X - L' \mid$ *coincides with the exceptional set* $\cup\{l'_i\}$ *of* $\pi'_l = \phi_{H'}$.

Proof. (see [Isk6, ch.8.]), where the same is proved for the system $\mid H'_X - L'_X \mid = \mid H^*_X - 2L'_X \mid$, defining the double projection from the general line $l \subset X = X_{2g-2} \subset \mathbf{P}^{g+1}$, $7 \leq g \leq 12, g \neq 11$.

(L.4.4) Remark. Note that – in our case – one has $g = 6$. Therefore $h^o(H^*_X - 2L'_X) = g - 5 = 1$, and $H^o(H^*_X - 2L'_X) = \mathbf{C}.m'_X$, where m'_X is the section defining M'_X; i.e. the double projection contracts X_{10} to a point. Anyway, "the triple quadratic projection" – defined by the system $\mid 2H^*_X - 3L'_X \mid$ – is nontrivial. We shall see that the linear system $\mid 2H'_X - L'_X \mid$ defines a birational map $X' \to X_l$, where $X_l \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$.

(L.5) *The D'-flop $X' \to X^+$.*

(L.5.1). *Shortly about flops and extremal rays.*

(A). Definition.

FLOP: Let $\pi' : X' \to X''$ be a birational morphism from the smooth 3-fold X' to the normal 3-fold X'' such that:

(a'). the exceptional set of $\phi_{H'} = \pi'$ is a union of 1-dimensional cycles $l'_i \subset X'$ intersecting trivially the anticanonical class $-K_{X'}$, i.e. $(-K_{X'}).l'_i = 0, \forall i$;

(b'). the morphism π' is indecomposable, i.e. π' cannot be represented as a composition of morphisms $\pi' : X' \to Z \to X''$, $X' \neq Z \neq X''$, Z - normal.

The birational map $\rho : X' \to X^+$ is called a flop (over X'') if

(1). ρ is an isomorphism outside codimension 2;

(2). the composition $\pi^+ := \rho^{-1} \circ \pi' : X^+ \to X''$ has the properties

$(a^+) := (a')$, after replacing the sign $'$ by $^+$;

$(b^+) := (b')$, after replacing the sign $'$ by $^+$.

D'-FLOP. Let, moreover, D' be an effective divisor on X', such that

(c'). $D'.l'_i < 0, \forall i$;

and let D^+ be the proper preimage of D', on X^+.

Then the flop ϕ' is called a D'-flop (over X''), if D^+ has the property

(c^+). $D'.l^+_i > 0, \forall i$.

(B). Existence of D'-flops [K].

Let X be a smooth 3-fold, let X'' be a normal 3-fold, let $\pi' : X \to X''$ be a birational morphism with the properties (a') and (b'), and let D' be any effective divisor on X' with the property (c'). Then a D' flop always exists, and any sequence of D'-flops is finite.

(C). Denote by $\equiv$ the numerical equivalence of cycles on the n-fold X, and – by $[C]$ – the numerical equivalence class of the cycle C. If C is effective, then – with a probable abuse of the notation – we denote by $[C]$ also the set of effective cycles on X which are numerically equivalent to C.

The real space $N(X) = \{1 - \text{cycles on } X\}/_{\equiv} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ is finite-dimensional, and the integer $\rho(X) = dim(N(X))$ is called the Picard number of X. Let $\overline{NE}(X)$ be the closure of the convex cone $NE(X) \subset N(X)$ spanned on the effective 1-cycles on X.

The half-line $R = \mathbf{R}_{+}.[C]$ is called an extremal ray if R is an extremal ray of the cone $\overline{NE}(X)$, and $-K_X.C > 0$.

The rational curve $C \subset X$ is called extremal if $0 < -K_X.C \leq dim(X) + 1$, and $R = \mathbf{R}_{+}.[C]$ is an extremal ray on X.

The extremal ray $R = \mathbf{R}_{+}.[C]$ is called numerically effective if $C.D \geq 0$ for any effective divisor D on X.

(D). The cone theorem [Mo]. *Let* $\overline{NE}_K(X) = \{C \in \overline{NE}(X) : K_X.C \geq 0\}$.

Then, for any extremal ray R *on* X*, there exists an extremal curve* C *on* X *such that* $[C] \in N(X) - \overline{NE}_K(X)$ *and* $R = \mathbf{R}_{+}.[C]$. *Moreover,* $\overline{NE}(X)$ *is spanned on* $\overline{NE}_K(X)$ *and on the set* $\{R\}$ *of extremal rays on* X*; and* $\{R\}$ *is discrete outside* $\overline{NE}_K(X)$.

(E). Let $[C] \in N(X)$. We call the numerically effective divisor D a *supporting function* of $[C]$ if $D.C = 0$.

In particular the numerically effective divisor D is a supporting function for any $[C]$ of the set $D^{\perp} = \{[C] \in N(X) : C.D = 0\}$.

(F). Contraction of an extremal ray (see [Mo]) *Any extremal ray* R *on* X *defines a morphism* $\phi_R : X \to Y$*, where* Y *is a normal variety, such that:*

for any irreducible curve $C \subset X$*,* $\phi_R(C)$ *is a point iff* $[C] \in R$.

Moreover, for any extremal ray R *there exists a supporting function* D *such that* $D^{\perp} \cap \overline{NE}(X) = R$.

(L.5.2) *The D'-flop $\rho_X : X' \to X^+$.*

Let D' be any effective divisor linearly equivalent to $2H'_X - L'_X$.

It follows from (L.2.2)(ii) that the morphism $\pi'_l = \phi_{H'} : X' \to X''$ is an isomorphism outside the 1-dimensional cycle $\cup_{i=1,\dots,11} l'_i$.

Moreover,

$K_{X'}.l'_i = (-H'_X).l'_i = (-H^*_X + L'_X).l'_i = -deg.(l_i) + \#(l.l_i) = 1 - 1 = 0$; $D'.l'_i = (2H'_X - L'_X).l'_i = -1$.

Therefore, there exists a D'-flop $\rho_X : X' \to X^+$, over X'' (see above).

Let H^+_X, M^+_X, D^+_X, etc., be the proper ρ_X-images of H'_X, M'_X, D', etc.

(L.5.3) Proposition. *Let $C' = C'_\lambda \subset X'$ (λ– fixed) be any ruling of M'_X – the proper σ_l-preimage of the twisted cubic C_λ, $\#(C_\lambda.l) = 2$; and let $C^+ \subset X^+$ be the proper ρ_X-image of C'. Let $\phi' := \phi_{H'}$, and let $\phi^+ = \rho_X^{-1} \circ \phi' : X^+ \to X''$ be as above. Then C^+ is an extremal rational curve on X^+.*

Let $[C^+]$ be the class of effective 1-cycles on X^+ numerically equivalent to C^+, and let $R := \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+]$ be the extremal ray generated by $[C^+]$. Then the set of elements of $[C^+]$ coincides with the pencil $\{C^+_\lambda\}$ of rulings of M^+_X. In particular, the extremal morphism $\phi_R : X^+ \to Y$ – defined by R – contracts the rulings C^+_λ of M^+_X to the points of a smooth rational curve $\overline{l} \subset Y$.

Proof.

Let C^+ be any of the effective 1-cycles C^+_λ; let C' be the proper ρ_X-image of C^+, and let $C \subset X$ be the σ_l-image of C'.

Since σ_l is a sigma-process along l, the anticanonical class $-K_{X'} \cong H^*_X - L'_X \cong H'_X$; in particular $-K_{X'}$ is effective. Then – since $\rho_X : X' \to X^+$ is an isomorphism outside codimension 2 – the anticanonical class $-K_{X^+}$ is also effective, and $-K_{X^+} = H^+_X$ (= the direct ρ_X-image of H'_X) – see above.

It is not hard to see that the rulings C^+_λ are numerically equivalent on X^+. In fact, rk **Pic**$(X) = 2$, and we have to compute the intersections of C^+_λ and any two generators of **Pic**(X^+). For example, **Pic**$(X^+) = \mathbf{Z}.H^+_X + \mathbf{Z}.L^+_X$, and:

(1). $C^+_\lambda.L^+_X = C'_\lambda.L'_X = \#(C_\lambda.l) = 2$, and

(2). $C^+_\lambda.H^+_X = C'_\lambda.H'_X = C'_\lambda.(H^*_X - L'_X) = deg(C) - \#(C.l) = 3 - 2 = 1$, $\forall C^+_\lambda$.

Moreover,

(3). $M^+_X.C^+_\lambda = (H'_X - L'_X)^+.C^+_\lambda = 1 - 2 = -1$; therefore the numerical equivalence class $[C]^+$ and the pencil $\{C^+_\lambda\}$ coincide.

Let $[C^+]$ be the class of C^+_λ, ant let $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+]$. We shall see that R is an extremal ray, and the divisor D^+ is a supporting function for C^+.

Let $C' = C'_\lambda$ be irreducible. Then C' does not intersect the exceptional set $\cup\{l'_i\}$. Therefore, C^+ does not intersect $\cup\{l^+_i\}$.

Let C' be reducible. Then, as it follows from the definition of the projection π_l, C' has the form $C' = C'_i := q'_i + l'_i = (q_i + l_i)'$ where $q_i \subset X$ is the unique conic which intersects l and l_i; the conic q_i is the unique involutive of the degenerate conic $l + l_i$ (see section C).

On the one hand: $M'_X.C'_i = M'_X.C' = (H^*_X - 2L'_X).C' = deg(C) - 2.\#(l.C) = 3 - 2.2 = -1$, $D'.l_i = -1$; therefore $D'.q'_i = 0$ (here C' stands for the general C'_λ).

On the other hand: $M^+.C_i^+ = D^+.C^+$ (see (1),(2),(3)), and $M^+.C^+ = M'_X.C' = -1$ (since the general C' does not intersect $\cup\{l'_i\}$, and the flop ρ'_X is an isomorphism outside $\cup\{l'_i\}$). Moreover, both q'_i and l'_i lie on M'_X.

Next,

$C_i^+ = (q_i + l_i)^+ = q_i^+ + l_i^+$, and $M^+.l_i^+ = (H_X^+ - L_X^+).l_i^+ = (D^+ - H^+).l_i^+ = (D^+ + K_{X^+}).l_i^+ = D^+.l_i^+ + K_{X^+}.l_i^+ \geq 0$, by the properties (a^+) and (c^+) of the studied D'-flop. Therefore l_i^+ does not lie on M_X^+; clearly, $q_i^+ \subset M_X^+$. Therefore,

(4). $M_X^+ \subset X^+$ is a (non-degenerate) ruled surface over the rational base $\{\lambda\}$ of the pencil $\{C_\lambda\}$; and the general C_λ^+ is the general fiber of M_X^+.

We shall see that the ray $R = \mathbf{R}^+.[C^+]$ is extremal.

The property (4) implies that the ray $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+]$ is an extremal ray the geometric cone $\overline{NE}(X)$. Moreover,

(5). $0 < -K_{X^+}.C^+ = 1 \leq 4 = dim\ X^+ + 1$.

Indeed, $-K_{X^+} = H^+$, and $H^+.C^+ = 1$ (see above).

Properties (4) and (5) imply that $C^+ \subset X^+$ is an extremal rational curve, i.e. $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+]$ is an extremal ray.

We shall see that the effective divisor D^+ is a supporting function for the extremal ray R. The first is to see that:

(6). The effective divisor $D^+ \cong (2H'_X - L'_X)^+$ is numerically effective (nef).

Remark. The verification of (6) is based on the same argument used for the nef-ness for the divisor $D_1^+ \cong (H'_X - L'_X)^+$ defining the double projection from the general line $l \subset X_{2g-2}$, $7 \leq g \leq 12, g \neq 11$ (see [Isk6. ch.8]):

On the one hand, (L.4.3) implies that D' is nef outside its base set $\cup\{l'_i\}$ of $X' \to X''$ Therefore, the flop-ed divisor D^+ is nef outside its base set $\cup\{l_i^+\}$.

On the other hand, $D^+.l_i^+ \geq 0$, by the properties of the D'-flop ρ_X. Therefore D^+ is nef.

The second is to see that D^+ is a supporting function for the general $C^+ = C_\lambda^+$.

One has: $D^+.C^+ = D'.C' = (2H'_X - L'_X).C' = (2H_X^* - 3L'_X).C' = 2.deg(C) - 3.\#(C.l) = 2.3 - 3.2 = 0$. Therefore $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+] \subset (D^+)^\perp$.

It is also not hard to see that any irreducible and reduced 1-cycle Z on X^+ such that $D^+.Z^+ = 0$ must be one of the curves C_λ^+. Therefore

(7). $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+] = (D^+)^\perp$, i.e. the nef divisor D^+ is a supporting function for the extremal ray R.

Let $\phi_R : X^+ \to X_l := Y$ be the extremal morphism defined by the extremal ray R. By definition, ϕ_R contracts the elements of the numerically equivalence class $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+] = (D^+)^\perp$, and ϕ_R contracts no other irreducible curves on X^+. Now, the property (3) implies that, in fact, ϕ_R contracts the ruled surface M_X^+ to a rational curve $\overline{l} \subset Y = X_l$.

(L.5.4) *The triple quadratic projection* β_l.

Corollary. *The threefold* Y *coincides with the image* X_l *of* X', *under the map* β'_l *defined by the linear system* $\mid 2H'_X - L'_X \mid = \mid 2H_X^* - 3L'_X \mid$ *(= the image of* X, *under the map* β_l *defined by the system* $\mid 2H_X - 3l \mid$*).*

(L.6) *The involutive property of β_l.*

Theorem. *Denote by $\beta_l : X \to X_l = Y$ the birational map defined by $\mid 2H_X - 3l \mid$. Then $X_l \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, the curve $\overline{l} \subset Y = X_l$ is a line on X_l, and the inverse map $(\beta_l)^{-1} : Y = X_l \to X$ coincides with the map $\beta_{\overline{l}} : Y \to Y_{\overline{l}}$ defined by the system $\mid 2H_Y - 3.\overline{l} \mid$ (H_Y being the hyperplane section of $Y \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$).*

Proof. It follows from the properties of the extremal morphism ϕ_R that rk $\mathbf{Pic}(Y) = rk$ $\mathbf{Pic}(X^+) - 1 = rk$ $\mathbf{Pic}(X') - 1 = rk$ $\mathbf{Pic}(X) = 1$, since the flop preserves $rk\mathbf{Pic}$ and $\sigma_l : X' \to X$ is a σ-process. Moreover, since $\phi_R : X^+ \to Y$ is a blow-down of the ruled surface M^+_X to a curve on the smooth 3-fold Y (see [Mo]), $\phi^*_R(-K_Y) = -K_{X^+} + M^+_X \cong H^+_X + (H^+_X - L^+_X) \cong D^+_X$.

In particular, $-K_Y$ is nef. Moreover, $-K_Y$ is big since its preimage D^+_X is big (since the extremal morphism ρ_R is defined by a multiple of its supporting function D^+_X, and $dim(Image(\rho_R)) = 3$. Therefore Y is a smooth Fano 3-fold of the 1^{-st} specie (see I): $rank$ $\mathbf{Pic} = 1$.

Next, $\mathbf{Z}.H^+_X + \mathbf{Z}.M^+_X = \mathbf{Pic}(X^+) = \rho^*_R\ \mathbf{Pic}(Y)\ +\ M^+_X$; the first identity reflects the same identity for X', and the second one follows from the properties of the σ-process $\rho_R = \sigma_{\overline{l}}$. Moreover, $H^+_X = -K_{X^+}$ and $K_{X^+} = \rho^*_R(K_Y) + M^+_X$ (see above).

Therefore $\mathbf{Pic}(Y)$ is spanned on $-K_Y$ as a $\mathbf{Z}$-module, i.e. Y is a Fano 3-fold of index 1.

In particular $deg(Y) = (-K_Y)^3$.

In order to compute $(-K_Y)^3$ we need to state some identities for the intersections of divisors on X' and X^+.

(1). In $\mathbf{Pic}(X') = \mathbf{Z}.H^*_X + \mathbf{Z}.L'_X$ the following identities hold:

$(H^*_X)^3 = 10$, $(H^*_X)^2.L'_X = 0$, $H^*_X.(L'_X)^2 = -1$, $(L'_X)^3 = 1$.

Proof of (1). The first identity is obvious, since $(H^*_X)^3 = deg(\sigma_l).(H_X)^3 = 1.10 = 10$. The next three identities are properties of the σ-process σ_l (see e.g. [Yu.Manin, Uspehi Matem. Nauk.,24:5 (1969), 3-86]). For example, $(L'_X)^3 = -c_1(N_{l|X}) = -deg(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}) = 1$ (ibid.). In particular, since $-K_{X'} = (-K_X)^* - L'_X = H^*_X - L'_X$, and $M'_X = H^*_X - 2L'_X$:

(2'). $\mathbf{Pic}(X') = \mathbf{Z}.(-K_{X'}) + \mathbf{Z}.M'_X$ and

$(-K_{X'})^3 = (H^*_X - L'_X)^3 = 6$, $(-K_{X'})^2.M'_X = 3$, $-K_{X'}.(M'_X)^2 = -2$, $(M'_X)^3 = -10$.

(L.6.1) Lemma. *In $\mathbf{Pic}(X^+) = \mathbf{Z}.(-K_{X^+}) + \mathbf{Z}.M^+_X$ the following identities hold:*

(2^+). $(-K_{X^+})^3 = 6$, $(-K_{X^+})^2.M^+_X = 3$, $-K_{X^+}.(M^+_X)^2 = -2$, $(M^+_X)^3 = 1$.

Proof. The flop $\rho_X : X' \to X^+$ is an isomorphism outside the 1-dimensional exceptional sets $\cup\{l'_i\}$ and $\cup\{l^+_i\}$. This implies the first three identities.

****

(L.6.2) Remark. *Description of the D'-flop ρ_X.*

In order to explain the difference between the 4-th identity in (2') and the 4-th identity in (2^+), we remember that the set $\{l'_i\}$ has 11 elements – the proper preimages of the 11 lines on X $l_1, ..., l_{11}$ which intersect (and not coincide with) l.

The D'_X-flop $\rho_X : X' \to X^+$ can be described as follows:

(a). Blowing-up of all the 11 exceptional curves $l'_i, i = 1, ..., 11$:

$\sigma' := \Pi_{i=1,...,11}\sigma_{l'_i} : \tilde{X} \to X'$.

Since $l \subset X$ is general, l_i are general. In particular $N_{l_i|X} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, and (since l_i intersects the center l of the σ-process σ_l) the normal sheaf $N_{l'_i|X'} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

Therefore the exceptional divisor $L_i = \sigma'(l'_i)$ is a quadric, and σ' contracts one of the rulings of L_i, say f'_i, onto l'_i. Let f_i^+ be the 2-nd ruling of L_i. It is standard that f_i^+ defines an extremal ray R_i on $\tilde{X}$, and the extremal morphism ρ_{R_i} contracts the $\mathbf{P}^1$-family $\{f_i^+\}$.

Let $\sigma^+ = \Pi_{i=1,...,11}\rho_{R_i}$. Now, the following is obvious:

(b). The composition $\sigma^+ \circ (\sigma')^{-1}$ is a D'_X-flop (above X''); and we can let $\rho_X := \sigma^+ \circ (\sigma')^{-1}$.

In particular, σ^+ contracts L_i to the curve l_i^+.

It is also easy to see that

(c). $l_i^+.K_{X^+} = 0$, $l_i^+.D_X^+ = +1 > 0$, and $\{\cup l_i^+\}_{i=1,...11}$ is the exceptional set of the morphism $X^+ \to X''$.

In particular, the 0-cycle $(M^+)^3$ is a union of the proper image of the 0-cycle $(M')^3$ (of degree -10) and the 11 points of intersection $z_i = l_i^+.M_X^+, i = 1, ..., 11$, i.e., $\mathit{deg}(M_X^+)^3 = -10 + 11 = 1$. Next we give a direct proof of this equality.

****

For proving 4-th identity in (2^+), we use the fact that M_X^+ is a ruled surface over a rational curve. Therefore $(K_{M^+})^2 = 8$ (always). Now, by adjunction,

$K_{M^+} = (K_{X^+} + M^+)|_{M^+}$. Therefore

$8 = (K_{M^+})^2 = (K_{X^+})^2.M^+ + 2K_{X^+}.(M^+)^2 + (M^+)^3 = 3 + 2.2 + (M^+)^3$. Therefore $(M^+)^3 = 1$. **q.e.d.**

End of the proof of Theorem (L.6).

Now, we can compute $\mathit{deg}(Y)$:

$\mathit{deg}(Y) = (-K_Y)^3 = (-K_{X^+} + M^+)^3 = M^+.(-K_{X^+} + M^+)^2 =$

$(-K_{X^+})^3 + 2M^+.(-K_{X^+})^2 + (-K_{X^+}).(M^+)^2 = 6 + 2.3 - 2 = 10$.

This proves that the threefold $X_l := Y = \beta_l(X) \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$.

We shall compute $\mathit{deg}(\bar{l})$:

$\mathit{deg}(\bar{l}) = (-K_Y).\bar{l} = (-K_{X^+} + M^+).(M^+.(-K_{X^+}) = (-K_{X^+})^2.M^+ + (-K_{X^+}).(M^+)^2 = 3 - 2 = 1$, i.e. $\bar{l}$ is a line on $Y = X_l$.

The second equality in the deduction probably needs some comments. In fact, we can replace the 1-cycle $\bar{l}$ on Y by the intersection $M^+.(-K_{X^+})$ on X^+, because the anticanonical divisor $-K_{X^+}$ intersects the ruled surface M^+ along a 1-section – the intersection $M^+.(-K_{X^+})$; and this gives a lifting of the intersection on Y to an intersection on X^+. In order to see that $M^+.(-K_{X^+})$ is a section of M^+ we have only to see that $C^+.(-K_{X^+}) = 1$ for the general fiber $C^+ = C_\lambda$ of M^+. This is easy to be computed:

$C^+.(-K_{X^+}) = C^+.H_X^+ =$ (since C^+ is general) $= C'.H'_X = C'.(H_X^* - L'_X) = \mathit{deg}(C) - \#(C.l) = 3 - 2 = 1$ (here $C \subset X$ is the σ_l-image of the proper ρ_X-preimage C' of C^+).

We have seen that the pair $(X, l) : X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}, l -$ a general line on X defines uniquely a pair $(X_l = Y, \bar{l})$, $(X_l \in \mathcal{X}_{10}, \bar{l} -$ a line on X_l, such that:

$(\mathbf{i}, \to)$ $X_l = \beta_l(X) =$ the image of X under the rational map β_l defined by the non-complete linear system $\mid 2H_X - 3l \mid$ (– the "triple quadratic projection" from l);

$(\mathbf{ii}, \to)$. $\bar{l}$ is the β_l-image of the surface M_X swept out by the pencil of twisted cubics $C \subset X$ intersecting twice l.

After obvious change of notation, we claim that

(i, $\leftarrow$). $X = \beta_{\overline{l}}(X_l)$;

(ii, $\leftarrow$). $l =$ the $\beta_{\overline{l}}$-image of the surface L_{X_l} which is swept out by the pencil of twisted cubics $C \subset X_l$ which intersect twice $\overline{l}$, i.e. the rational map $\beta_{\overline{l}}$ sends X_l exactly to the initial threefold X, and $\beta_{\overline{l}} = (\beta_l)^{-1}$.

However, we have already proved this: the description of the D'-flop from the last remark is the same if we read it beginning from $X_l' := X^+$ and ending by $X_l^+ := X'$. Moreover, the inverse $(\beta_l)^{-1}$ begins, just as β_l, with the blow-up of a line – the line $\overline{l} \subset X_l$, and ends with the blow-down to a line – the line $l \subset X$. (In particular, this proves also that $\overline{l}$ is a general line on X_l.) **q.e.d.**

C. Conic-transformations.

(C.0) In this section we show that the general pair $(X, q) : X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}, q$ a conic on X defines uniquely another pair $(X_q, \overline{q}) : X_q \in \mathcal{X}_{10}, \overline{q}$ – a conic on X_q. In particular, $X_q = \alpha_q(X)$ for some well-defined birational map $\alpha_q : X \to X_q$. In fact, α_q coincides with the "quadruple cubic projection" from $q \subset X$ defined by the system $\mid 3H_X - 4q \mid$ (H_X – the hyperplane section of $X \subset \mathbf{P}^7$).

Moreover, the correspondence $(X, q) \to (X_q, \overline{q})$ possesses a kind of involutive property – the pair defined by the same way by $(X_q, \overline{q})$ coincides with (X, q). In particular, X coincides with the birational image of X_q under the "quadruple cubic projection" from $\overline{q} \subset X_q$.

The study is based on the same arguments as those in section L. This makes it possible to leave out the obviously identical considerations, and only to mark out the specific differences between the line transformations and the conic transformations.

(C.1) *The Fano surface $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of conics on $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$.*

(C.1.0) *Preliminaries.*

Let $X = X_{10} \subset \mathbf{W}_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ be, as usual, a general smooth Fano threefold of index 1 and of degree 10. The family of conics on X,

$\mathcal{F}(X) :=$ (the closure of) $\{q \subset X : q -$ an irreducible reduced 1-cycle $, deg(q) = 2\}$

has been studied in [Pu], and – in more detail – in [L]; see also [Ili1] – where $\mathcal{F}(X_{10})$ is studied together with the 3-fold family of twisted cubics on X_{10}, or [Ili3] – where one can find a study of the conics on the Gushel threefold X'_{10} (see (I.0), (I.1)).

Special conics on X_{10}.

Let $q \subset X$ be a smooth conic on X. Since the threefold X is embedded in the Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$, one can consider q as a conic on $G(2, 5)$.

Let $Gr(q) := \cup\{\lambda\}_{\lambda \in q}$ be the union of lines $\lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ which are points of $q \subset G(2, 5)$. From this point of view, the conics on $G(2, 5)$ can be separated into 3 types:

τ-conics: $Gr(q) = Q(q)$ is a smooth quadratic surface, and the elements λ of q are the elements of one of the two rulings of $Q(q)$;

σ-conics: $Gr(q) = C(q)$ is a quadratic cone, and the elements λ of q are the elements of the ruling of $C(q)$;

ρ-conics: $Gr(q) = \mathbf{P}^2(q)$ is a plane, and the elements λ of q are the tangent lines of a fixed conic $q(0) \subset \mathbf{P}^2(q)$.

This notation can be applied, via degeneration, also to reducible conics – sum of two lines, and to non-reduced conics – double lines. It is not hard to see that some of these degenerate conics can belong to more than one of the three types: e.g., if $q = 2l$ then q is a σ-conic, as well – a ρ-conic.

(C.1.1) Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X)$ be the family of conics – the Fano surface – of the general $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$. Then:*

(i). *$\mathcal{F}$ is a smooth algebraic surface of general type (and of irregularity $10 = dim\mathbf{Alb}(S) = dim\ J(X)$). The base family $\{q_t\}$ of σ-conics on X is a smooth rational rational curve on $\mathcal{F}(X)$; and there exist exactly one ρ-conic q_o on X. Moreover, the σ-conics sweep out a hyperplane section $S_o \subset X$; and (if X is general then) the ρ-conic q_o is smooth.*

(ii). *The general conic $q \subset X$ is a smooth τ-conic, with a normal bundle $N_{q|X} \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$.*

(iii). *Let $q \subset X$ be general (esp., q is a τ-conic). Then there exist:*

– exactly one τ-conic $\tilde{q} \subset X$ which intersects q in 2 points.

Definition. We call $\tilde{q}$ the involutive conic of q;

– exactly two σ-conics on X, say q_1 and q_2 which intersect q; moreover q_1 and q_2 intersect the involutive conic $\tilde{q}$;

– exactly 20 lines $l_1, ..., l_{20}$ on X which intersect q.

(C.1.2) Remarks.

(1). For a proof of (C.1.1) – see [Pu], [L]; or [Ili3] – where similar result has been proved for the Fano surface of the hyperelliptic Fano threefold X'_{10}. In particular, the Albanese variety of $\mathcal{F}(X_{10})$ is 10-dimensional, since the Abel-Jacobi map *Alb* $\mathcal{F}(X) \to J(X)$ is an isomorphism (see [L] and [Ili3]), and *dim* $J(X) = h^{1,2}(X) = 10$ (see section I).

(2). Let $X = W \cap Q$ be a representation of X as a quadratic section of the fourfold $W = W_5$. Concerning the σ-conics $q_t \subset X$, and the ρ-conic $q_o \subset X$, these conics are obtained as intersections of the σ-planes $\mathbf{P}^2_t$, and the ρ-plane on W, with the quadric Q (see (A.1), and thereafter).

(C.2) *The projection from a general conic $q \subset X$.*

(C.2.1) *Notation.*

Let $q \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ be a general conic on X. In particular, q is a smooth τ-conic, and $N_{q|X} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$. Let $\pi_q : X \to X''$ be the projection of X from q. Let $\sigma_q : X' \to X$ be the blow-up of q, and let $Q'_X = (\pi_q)^{-1}(q) \subset X'$ be the exceptional divisor $Q'_X \cong \mathbf{P}(N_{q|X}) \cong \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ of σ_q. Let H_X be the hyperplane section of X, let $H^*_X = \sigma^*(H_X)$, and let $H'_X \cong H^*_X - Q'_X$ be the proper preimage of $H \in\mid H_X - q \mid$. Since the rational projection π_q is defined by the system $\mid H_X - q \mid$, the map $\pi'_q = \pi_q \circ \sigma_q : X' \to X''$ is defined by the proper preimage $\mid H'_X \mid = \mid H^*_X - Q'_X \mid$ of $\mid H_X - q \mid$.

(C.2.2) Lemma. *Let σ_q, $\pi'_q = \pi_q \circ \sigma_q$, H_X, H'_X, l_i, q_1, q_2, $\tilde{q}$, etc., be as above. Then:*

(i). *the projection $X'' \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ is a quartic threeold with a finite number of isolated normal singular points: the images x_i of the 20 lines l_i which intersect q, the image z of the involutive conic $\tilde{q}$, and the images y_1 and y_2 of the two σ-conics q_1 and q_2 which intersect q (and $\tilde{q}$);*

(ii). *the map $\pi'_q = \pi_q \circ \sigma_q = \phi_{H'} : X' \to X''$, defined by $\mid H'_X \mid$, is a resolution of the projection π_q, i.e. π'_q is regular (= a morphism);*

(iii). *the regular map $\pi'_q : X' \to X''$ is an isomorphism, outside the 1-dimensional set $\cup_{i=1,...,20} l'_i \cup q'_1 \cup q'_2 \cup \tilde{q}'$, where $l'_1, ..., \tilde{q}'$ are the proper σ_q-preimages of $l_1, ..., \tilde{q}$.*

(C.3) *The surface M'_X.*

(C.3.1) Proposition. *There exists a unique effective divisor M'_X, in the linear system $\mid 2H'_X - Q'_X \mid = \mid 2H^*_X - 3Q'_X \mid$; and $M'_X \subset X'$ is the proper σ_q-preimage of the surface $M_X =$ (the closure of) $\cup\{C \subset X : C$ is an effective irreducible and reduced smooth 1-cycle on $X : deg(C) = 4, \#(C.q) = 3\}$; that is, M_X is the union of twisted quartics on X which intersect q in 3 points. Moreover, the set of all these quartics form a rational pencil $\{C_t : t \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$ with only degenerations: the 20 curves $l_i + C_i, i = 1, ..., 20$, and the 2 curves $q_i + \tilde{q}, i = 1, 2$; here $C_i \subset X$ is the twisted cubic involutive to the (degenerate) twisted cubic $q + l_i \subset X$ – see (C.3.3), or [Ili1].*

Proof of (C.3.1).

(C.3.2) *Existence ⇒ uniqueness.*

Assume the existence of (at least one) effective divisor $M'_X \in \mid 2H'_X - Q'_X \mid = \mid H^*_X - 3Q'_X \mid$, and let $M_X = \sigma_q(M'_X) \in \mid 2H_X - 3q \mid$ be the image of M'_X. Let $C \subset X$ be (if exists) a twisted quartic intersecting q in 3 points. Then $C.M_X = 2.deg(C) - 3.\#(C.q) = -1$. Therefore C must lie on M_X. This implies the uniqueness of M_X provided the system $\mid 2H'_X - Q'_X \mid$ is non-empty, and the union of twisted quartics intersecting q in 3 points is at least a surface on X.

Description of M_X = *Proof of* $(C.3.1)$.

Being general in $\mathcal{F}(X)$, q is a τ-conic. In particular $Q(q) = Gr(q) = \cup\{\lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^4 : \lambda \in q\}$ is a smooth quadratic surface in $\mathbf{P}^4$, and the family $\{\lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^4 : \lambda \in q\}$ coincides with one of the two rulings of $Q(q)$, say Λ.

Let $\overline{\Lambda} = \{\overline{\lambda}\}$ be the second ruling of $Q(q)$, and let $\overline{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda}$.

Then the Schubert calculus, on $X = 2.\sigma_{2,0}(\overline{\lambda}) \subset G(2,5)$, show that the curve $\tilde{C}(\overline{\lambda}) = \sigma_{2,0}(\overline{\lambda}).X$, must be projectively normal, of degree 6, and of arithmetical genus 2. The conic q is always a component of $\tilde{C}(\overline{\lambda})$. Therefore, the residue curve $C(\overline{\lambda}) = \tilde{C}(\overline{\lambda}) - q$ must be a twisted quartic intersecting the conic q in three points.

Now, the description of the pencil $\{C(\overline{(\lambda)}\}$ shows that the surface $M_X := \cup\{C(\overline{\lambda})\} \subset X$ belongs to the complete linear system $2H_X$. Indeed, M_X is a section of X with the special quadratic section $\mathcal{Q}_q := \{\mu \in G(2,5) :$ the line μ intersects the quadratic surface $Q(q) \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ of $G(2,5)\}$.

Moreover, M_X passes through q with a multiplicity (at least) 3. In fact, let $m := mult_q(M_X)$, and let M'_X be the proper σ_q-preimage of M_X. Then $M'_X \cong 2H^*_X - m.Q'_X$, and M'_X is swept out by the pencil of proper preimages $C'(\overline{\lambda})$ of the curves $C(\overline{\lambda})$. Therefore $C'(\overline{\lambda}).M'_X = C'(\overline{\lambda}).(2H^*_X - m.Q'_X) = 2.deg(C(\overline{\lambda}) - m.\#(C(\overline{\lambda}).q) = 8 - 3m$. Moreover, the number $8 - 3m$ must be non-positive, since the curve $C'(\overline{\lambda})$ lies on M'_X. Therefore $m \geq 3$. In particular $M_X \in \mid 2H_X - m.q \mid \subset \mid 2H_X - 3.q \mid$.

In order to see that $m = 3$, we can use either the considerations in [Isk6, ch.8] – involving the multiplicity of $M'_X(l) \in \mid H'_X - L'_X \mid$ by considering the adjoint sequences defined by adding of L'_X, or to use a degeneration argument as follows:

Assume that $q = l_1 + l_2$ is a sum of two lines. Since $m \geq 3$, the uniqueness of the already existing effective divisor $M_X(q) \in \mid 2H_X - m.q \mid$ follows from the same argument as in (L.1.2). Moreover, we know that the line l_i defines the unique divisor $M_X(l_1) \in \mid H_X - 2.l_i \mid$, $i = 1, 2$. Clearly, $M_X(l_1)$ passes through l_2 (with multiplicity 1: Otherwise the uniqueness of $M_X(l_1)$ and $M_X(l_2)$ implies that this multiplicity will be 2, and $M_X(l_1) = M_X(l_2)$. In particular, the rulings of $M_X(l_1)$ and $M_X(l_2)$ must coincide, i.e. any twisted cubic C on X which intersects twice l_1 will intersect twice l_2. The last is impossible by the construction of the pencils of these cubics: the residue components of the singular elliptic quartics $\sigma_{1,1}(\mathbf{P}^3).X \supset l_i$, for $\mathbf{P}^3 \supset \mathbf{P}^2(l_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, any $\mathbf{P}^3$ which passes through $\mathbf{P}^2(l_1)$ must pass through $\mathbf{P}^2(l_2)$, i.e. the planes $\mathbf{P}^2(l_1)$ and $\mathbf{P}^2(l_2)$, of l_1 and l_2, must coincide. Therefore $q = l_1 + l_2$ is a ρ-conic on X. However, there exists unique ρ-conic $q_o \subset X$, and q_o is smooth (see (C.1.1)) – *contradiction.* Therefore

$M_X(l_1) + M_X(l_2) \in \mid H_X - 2.l_1 - l_2 \mid + \mid H_X - 2.l_2 - l_1 \mid \subset \mid 2H_X - 3.(l_1 + l_2) \mid$, and the multiplicity 3 is exact. Now the uniqueness of $M_X(l_1+l_2)$ implies that $M_X(l_1+l_2) = M_X(l_1)+M_X(l_2)$. Therefore $m=3$, since the integer multiplicity 3 of the special conic l_1+l_2, in $M_X(l_1+l_2)$, cannot be less than the multiplicity m of the general conic q in $M_X(q)$.

(C.3.3) *The involutions between conics ([Pu],[L]), and between twisted cubics on* X_{10} ([Ili1]).

Let q be a conic on $X = X_{10}$, $q \neq q_o$. Then $< Gr(q) >$ is a 3-space $\mathbf{P}^3_q \subset \mathbf{P}^4$. The Schubert cycle $\sigma_{1,1}(\mathbf{P}^3_q)$ intersects X along a singular projectively normal elliptic quartic $E = E^1_4$, and q is a component of E. The involutive conic $\tilde{q}$ is the residue $\tilde{q} = E - q$. It is not hard to see that: (1). if q is a τ-conic, then $\tilde{q}$ is also τ-conic; (2). if q is any σ-conic, then $\tilde{q}$ is the unique ρ-conic q_o.

Let C be a general twisted cubic on X. Then – see [Ili1] – there exists a line $\lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^4$, s.t. $C \subset \sigma_{2,0}\lambda \cap X$ (i.e. all the elements of C, being lines in $\mathbf{P}^4$, intersect the line λ. The cycle $\sigma_{2,0}(\lambda) \cap X$ is a singular projectively normal curve of degree 6, and of arithmetical genus 2. The involutive twisted cubic $\tilde{C}$ is the residue $\tilde{C} = \sigma_{2,0}(\lambda) \cap X - C$.

(C.4) *The linear system* $\mid 3H'_X - Q'_X \mid \, = \, \mid 3H'_X - 4Q'_X \mid$.

(C.4.1) The following statements are the analogs of (L.4.2), (L.4.3).

(C.4.2) Proposition. $h^o(3H'_X - Q'_X) \geq 8$.

(C.4.3) Proposition. *The linear system* $\mid 3H'_X - Q'_X \mid$ *has no fixed components, and no base points – outside the* 1*-dimensional set* $l'_1 \cup ... \cup l'_{20} \cup q'_1 \cup q'_2 \cup \tilde{q}'$

(C.5) *The* D'_X*-flop* $X' \to X^+$.

(C.5.1) The following statements $(C.5._*)$ for conic transformations, are the analogs of the statements $(L.5._*)$ for line transformations.

(C.5.2) *Let* D'_X *be an effective divisor, linearly equivalent to* $3H'_X - Q'_X$. *Then there exists a* D'_X*-flop* $\rho_X : X' \to X^+$, *over* X''.

(C.5.3) Proposition. *Let* $C' = C'(\overline{\lambda}) \subset M_X$ *be the proper preimage of the general rational quartic* $C(\overline{\lambda})$ *which intersects* q *in* 3 *points, and let* $C^+ \subset M^+_X$ *be the proper* ρ_X*-image of* C'. *Then the rational curve* $C^+ \subset X^+$ *is extremal, and the (effective) elements of the class* $[C^+]$ *are the elements of the pencil* $\{C^+(\overline{\lambda})\}$; *i.e. the extremal morphism* $\phi_R : X^+ \to Y =: X_q$, *defined by the extremal ray* $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+]$, *contracts the rulings of* M^+_X *and no other irreducible curves on* X^+.

The proof of (C.5.3) is the same as the proof of (L.5.3). In particular, the effective divisor $D^+_X \cong (3H'_X - Q'_X)^+$ is a supporting function for the elements of the extremal ray R, and $R = (D^+_X)^\perp$ – see the equality (7) in the proof of (L.5.3).

(C.5.4) *The quadruple cubic projection* α_q.

The following is the analog of (L.5.4):

Corollary. *The threefold* $Y = \rho_R(X^+)$ *coincides with the image* X_q *of* X' *under the map* α'_q *defined by the linear system* $\mid 3H'_X - Q'_X \mid \, = \, \mid 3H^*_X - 4Q'_X \mid$ *(= the image of* X *under the map* α_q *defined by the system* $\mid 3H_X - 4.q \mid$).

(C.6) *The involutive property of* α_q.

Theorem. *Denote by* $\alpha_q : X \to X_q = Y$ *the birational map defined by the system* $\mid 3H_X - 4.q \mid$. *Then* $X_q \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, *the curve* $\overline{q} = \rho_R(M^+_X)$ *is a conic on* X_q, *and the inverse map* $(\alpha_q)^{-1} : Y = X_q \to X$ *coincides with the map* $\alpha_{\overline{q}} : X_q = Y \to Y_{\overline{q}}$ *defined by the system*

$\mid 3H_Y - 4.\overline{q} \mid$ *(H_Y being the hyperplane section of $Y \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$).*

Proof. The proof of (C.6) is similar to the proof of (L.6) – up to the change of notation, up to the integers in the analogs of the equalities $(L.6)(1) - (2') - (2^+)$, and up to the differences of the (unnecessary) description of the flop attached to the conic transformation.

Here we write down these differences:

In $\mathbf{Pic}(X') = \mathbf{Z}.H^* + \mathbf{Z}.Q' = \mathbf{Z}.(-K_{X'}) + \mathbf{Z}.M'$, the following analogs of (L.6)(1)-(L.6)(2') hold:

(1). $(H^*)^3 = 10$, $(H^*)^2.Q' = 0$, $H^*.(Q')^2 = -2$, $(Q')^3 = 0 (= c_1(N_{q|X})$.

(2'). $-K_{X'} = H'$, and

$(-K'_X)^3 = 4$, $(-K_{X'})^2.M' = 4$, $(-K_{X'}).(M')^2 = -2$, $(M')^3 = -28$.

(C.6.1) Lemma. *In* $\mathbf{Pic}(X^+) = \mathbf{Z}.(-K_{X^+}) + \mathbf{Z}.M^+$ *the following analog of (L.6)*(2^+) *holds:*

(2^+). $(-K_{X^+})^3 = 4$, $(-K_{X^+})^2.M^+ = 4$, $(-K_{X^+}).(M^+)^2) = -2$, $(M^+)^3 = 0$.

On the base of (1),(2'),(2^+) we prove that $deg(Y) = 10$, $deg(\overline{q}) = 2$:

$deg(Y) = 2g(Y) - 2 = (-K_Y)^3 = (-K_{X^+} + M^+)^3 = (-K_{X^+})^3 + 3.(-K_{X^+})^2.M^+ + 3.(-K_{X^+}).(M^+)^2 + (M^+)^3 = 4 + 3.4 + 3.(-2) + 0 = 10$;

$deg(\overline{q}) = (-K_Y.(\overline{q}) = (-K_{X^+} + M^+).(M^+.(-K_{X^+}) = (-K_{X^+})^2.M^+ + (-K_{X^+}.(M^+)^2 = 4 + (-2) = 2$;

that is $X_q := Y \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, and $\overline{q} = \rho_R(M^+)$ is a conic on $Y = X_q$.

****

(C.6.2) *Description of the* D'*-flop* ρ_X.

Just as in (L.5.2), one can describe a D' flop (over the quartic X'') $\rho_X : X' \, rightarrow X^+$ for the effective divisor $D' \in \mid 2H'_X - 3Q'_X \mid$:

(a). Blow-up the 20 exceptional curves $l'_1, ..., l'_{20}$ – the (disjoint) proper preimages of the 20 lines $l_1, ..., l_{20}$ which intersect the conic q: $\sigma^a = \Pi_{i=1,...,20} \sigma_{l'_i} : X^a \rightarrow X'$;

(b). Blow-up the proper preimage $\tilde{q}^a \subset X^a$ of the involutive conic $\tilde{q}$: $\sigma^b = \sigma_{\tilde{q}} : X^b \rightarrow Xa$;

(c). Blow-up of the (disjoint) proper preimages $q_1^b \subset X^b$ and $q_2^b \subset X^b$ of the two σ-conics q_1 and q_2 which intersect q (and $\tilde{q}$): $\sigma^c = \sigma_{q_1^b} \circ \sigma_{q_2^b} : X^c \rightarrow X^b$.

Since q is general, the lines l_i, the involutive conic $\tilde{q}$, and the two σ-conics q_1 and q_2 can be considered as general lines, conic, and σ-conics on X.

In particular, $N_{l_i|X} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, $i = 1, ..., 20$, $N_{\tilde{q}|X} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$, and $N_{q_j|X} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$, $j = 1, 2$.

The base set q of σ_q intersects simply each of l_i and q_j, and q intersects twice $\overline{q}$. Therefore

$N_{l'_i|X'} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, $i = 1, ..., 20$, $N_{\tilde{q}'|X'} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, and $N_{q'_j|X'} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, $j = 1, 2$.

In particular, the components $L_i^a = (\sigma^a)^{-1}(l'_i)$ of the exceptional divisor of σ^a are isomorphic to smooth quadrics.

The base set $l'_1 \cup ... \cup l'_{20}$ of σ^a is disjoint from $\tilde{q}'$, q'_1, and q'_2. Therefore

$N_{\tilde{q}^a|X^a} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, and $N_{q_j^a|X^a} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, $j = 1, 2$.

In particular, the exceptional divisor $\tilde{Q}^b = (\sigma^b)^{-1}(\tilde{q}^a)$ is isomorphic to a smooth quadric.

The base set $\tilde{q}^a$ of σ^b intersects simply q_1^a and q_2^a. Therefore

$N_{q_j^b|X^b} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, $j = 1, 2$.

In particular, the components $Q^c_j = (\sigma^c)^{-1}(q^c_j)$ of the exceptional divisor of σ^c are isomorphic to smooth quadrics.

(d). Blow-down the two disjoint quadrics Q^c_1 and Q^c_2 along their rulings which are transversal to the rulings defining the σ-processes $\sigma_{q^b_1}$ and $\sigma_{q^b_2}$: $\sigma^{c+} : X^c \to X^{b+}$.

Let, $q^{b+}_j = \sigma^{c+}(Q^c_j)(j = 1, 2)$, and let (as usual) $(*)^{c+}$ be the proper σ^{c+}-image of the cycle $(*)^c$ on X^c.

It is not hard to see that the proper image $\tilde{Q}^{c+}$ of the quadric $\tilde{Q}^b$ is a quadric.

(e). Blow-down the quadric $\tilde{Q}^{c+}$ along the fibering induced from the ruling which is transversal to the ruling defined by σ^b: $\sigma^{b+} : X^{b+} \to X^{a+}$. In the obvious notation (see (d)), the surfaces L^{a+}_i became quadrics.

(f). Blow-down all the L^{a+}_i (just as in (d) and (e)):

$\sigma^{a+} : X^{a+} \to X^+$.

Now, it is easy to check that all the intersections of D^+ and the curves l^+_i, q^+_j, and $\tilde{q}^+$ are positive. Moreover, $(-K_{X^+})$ intersects trivially any of these curves, i.e. the composition $\rho := \sigma^{a+} \circ \sigma^{b+} \circ \sigma^{c+} \circ \sigma^c \circ \sigma^b \circ \sigma^a$ is a D' flop over X''.

****

N. Nodal X_{10}.

(N.0) In this section we find the general fiber of the Griffiths map j, which contain a nodal X_{10}. This will be used in the next section, to describe the general fiber of the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map for general Fano threefolds of degree 10.

(N.1) *The projection from the node of* X_{10}.

(N.1.0) Let $X = X_{10} = W_5 \cap Q \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ be a general nodal Fano 3-fold of degree 10, and let o be the node of X.

Let $\sigma_o : X' \to X$ be the blow-up of o, and let $Q' = (\sigma_o)^{-1}(o)$ be the exceptional quadric of σ_o. Obviously, the composition $\pi'_o = \pi_o \circ \sigma_o : X' \to X''$ is defined by the linear system $\mid H' \mid \cong H^* - Q'$, where $H' \cong H^* - Q'$ is the proper preimage of the hyperplane section H of X through the node o.

Denote by H'' and Q'' the images of H' and Q' on X''. Clearly, H'' is a hyperplane section of X'', and any hyperplane section of X'' can be represented in this way.

It is standard that the restriction of π' on Q' is an isomorphism; i.e., the surface Q'' is a smooth quadratic surface on X''.

The following lemma is an analog of (L.2.2) and (C.2.2):

(N.1.1) Lemma.

(i). *There are exactly* 6 *lines* $l_1, ..., l_6$ *on* $X \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ *which pass through the node* o.

(ii). *The map* $\pi' : X' \to X''$ *is regular, and* π' *is an isomorphism outside the* 1 *dimensional set* $\cup\{l'_i : i = 1, ..., 6\}$ *where* l'_i *is the proper* σ_o*-preimage of the line* $l_i, i = 1, ..., 6$.

(iii). *The threefold* X'' *is a singular Fano threefold of degree* 8 *which is a complete intersection of three quadrics in* $\mathbf{P}^6$.

(iv). *The only singularities of* Y *are the* 6 *isolated points* $x''_i = \pi'(l'_i)$ = *the projections of the* 6 *lines* $l_i \subset X$ *which pass through* o, *and all these points lie on the quadric* $Q'' \subset X''$.

Proof.

(i). Any Fano threefold of the 1^{-st} specie, which has degree 10 and index 1, can be represented as an intersection of the cone $\mathbf{C}(W) \subset \mathbf{P}^8$ (over W) with a hyperplane $\mathbf{H}$ and a quadric $\mathbf{Q}$ (see [Gus]). In this setting, the Gushel threefold X^g_{10} is a smooth projective degeneration of the non-hyperelliptic X_{10}, inside $\mathbf{C}(W)$:

$X^g_{10} = \mathbf{C}(W) \cap \mathbf{H} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ – s.t. the hyperplane $\mathbf{H}$ passes through the vertex v of the cone $\mathbf{C}(W)$ (ibid.).

The projection, from the vertex v of the cone $\mathbf{C}(W)$, defines a natural double covering $f : X^g_{10} \to Y$, where $Y = Y_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ is the Fano threefold of index 2 and of degree 5 – see [Isk1]. The branch locus B of f is an intersection of Y and a quadric in $\mathbf{P}^6$. Clearly, X^g_{10} has a node *iff* the branch locus $B \in\mid \mathcal{O}_Y(2) \mid$ has a node. Moreover, the number of lines on the general nodal X^g_{10} which pass through the node of X^g_{10} is the same as the number of lines on the general nodal X_{10} which pass through the node of X_{10} – since X^g_{10} is a projective degeneration of X_{10}, inside $\mathbf{C}(W)$. Now, the lines on X^g_{10} are the components of the splitting f-preimages of lines on $Y = Y_5$. In particular, the lines through the node o of the nodal X^g_{10} are the components of the f-preimages of the lines on Y which pass through the node $p(o)$ of B. Now, the number of lines on Y_5 through the general point $p(o) \in Y_5$ is *three* (see e.g. [Isk1], [FN], [Ili2]), and the f-preimage of any of these 3 lines is a sum of 2 lines through the node o of X^g_{10}. *q.e.d.*;

(ii). standard;

(iii). Let $\mathsf{I} = \oplus_{d\geq 0}\mathsf{I}_d$ be the graded ideal of polynomial equations of the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbf{P}^4$: $G = G(2,5) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$.

Since G is an intersection of quadrics, I is generated by the component I_2.

Let $\mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2)$ be the projectivization of the space I_2. There exists a natural linear isomorphism $\mathbf{P}^4 \to \mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2)$: $x \mapsto Pl(\mathbf{P}^4/v)$, where $Pl(v) := Pfaff(\mathbf{P}^4/v)$ is the unique Plücker quadric – the Pfaffian – of the projective 3-space $\mathbf{P}^4/v$.

The same is true for the graded ideal of $W = G \cap \mathbf{P}^7$, and for the restrictions of the Plücker quadrics on $\mathbf{P}^7$. In particular, if $\mathbf{P}^1_o \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ be the line representing the node o, then $Pl := Pl(\mathbf{P}^1_o)$ is a projective line in $\mathbf{P}\mathsf{I}_2 \cong \mathbf{P}^4$.

Considered as a quadric in $\mathbf{P}^9$, the general element $Pl(v), v \in \mathbf{P}^1_o$ is a quadric of rank 6 with a vertex – the σ-space $\mathbf{P}^3(v) = \sigma_{3,0}(v)$ of lines in $\mathbf{P}^4$ through the point $v \in \mathbf{P}^1_o$. In particular, $o \in$ the vertex of $Pl(v)$. The same is true for the restriction of $Pl(v)$ to $\mathbf{P}^7$. Therefore the linear pencil of quadrics $Pl = \{Pl(v) : v \in \mathbf{P}^1_o\}$ can be regarded as a pencil of quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^6$ – the projection of $\mathbf{P}^7$ through the node o.

Let $W'' \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ be the projection of $W = W_5$. It is easy to see that W'' is a complete intersection of any two quadrics of the pencil Pl, and $X'' \subset W''$. Therefore $Pl = \mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2(\mathsf{W}'')) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2(\mathsf{X}''))$, and Pl is a line in the projective space $\mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2)(\mathsf{X}'')$.

Moreover, as it follows from (i)-(ii), $-K_{X'} \cong (-K_X)^* - Q') \cong H'$ – since o is a double point of X, and $-K_{X''} = \pi'_*(-K_{X'}) = H''$ $\Leftarrow$ the regular map π' is an isomorphism outside codimension 2. By construction, the divisor H'' is a hyperplane section of X''. It follows that X'' is a (singular) Fano threefold – of of degree $8 = deg(X) - mult_o(X)$, and $X'' \subset W''$, where the fourfold W'' is an intersection of two quadrics. This implies that X'' is an intersection of three quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^6$, i.e. $\mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2(X'')) = \mathbf{P}^2$. This is equivalent to the existence of a quadratic cone Q, such that $sing(Q) = o$, and $X = W \cap Q$. In particular, such a cone can be also regarded as a quadric in $\mathbf{P}^6$, and the plane $\mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2(X''))$ is spanned on the line Pl and on the quadric $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^6$;

(iv). standard.

(N.1.2) Remark.

In addition, we shall see that *x''_i are ordinary double points (nodes) of X''.*

Check-up.

Let $\tau : \tilde{X} \to X'$ be the blow-up of the 6 exceptional curves $l'_1, ..., l'_6$, and let $L_i \subset \tilde{X}$ be the exceptional rational ruled surfaces. The composite map $\tilde{\pi} = \pi'_o \circ \tau : \tilde{X} \to X''$ contracts L_i to x''_i. Since $\tilde{X}$ is non-singular, $\tilde{\pi}$ is a resolution of X'', and the irreducibility of L_i shows that this resolution is minimal.

Let $mult_{x''_i}(X'') = m_i$ for some integers $m_i \geq 2, i = 1, ..., 6$. Therefore $(-K_{\tilde{X}}) = \tilde{\pi}^*(-K_{X''}) - \Sigma_{i=1,...,6}(3 - m_i).L_i$.

The map $\tau : \tilde{X} \to X'$. blows-up the smooth irreducible curves $l'_i, i = 1, ..., 6$ on the smooth 3-fold X'. Therefore $(-K_{\tilde{X}}) = \tau^*(-K_{X'}) - \Sigma_{i=1,...,6}L_i$. Moreover, $-K_{X'} = H'$, and $-K_{X''} = H'' =$ the proper image of H'. Therefore the first summand in the two expressions for $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ coincide, hence $\Sigma_{i=1,...,6}(3 - m_i).L_i = \Sigma_{i=1,...,6}L_i$, i.e. $m_i = 2, i = 1, ..., 6$. The double points x''_i are ordinary nodes since the exceptional divisors are smooth and irreducible ruled surfaces (of degree $2 = m_i$) = smooth quadrics. *q.e.d.*

(N.2) *The double projection from the node $o \in X_{10}$.*

(N.2.1) *The linear system $\mid H^* - 2.Q' \mid$.*

It follows from (N.1) that any hyperplane section of H'' of X'' is a image of a unique effective divisor $H' \in\mid H^* - Q' \mid$, and the image of any such H' is a hyperplane section of X''. Let, in particular, $D'' \in\mid H'' \mid$ passes through the quadric Q'', i.e. $D'' \in\mid H'' - Q'' \mid$. Then, by the same argument, D'' is a proper image of a unique effective divisor $D' \in\mid H' - 2.Q' \mid$, and the π'-image of any such D' belongs to the system $\mid H'' - Q'' \mid$. The common image on X of these systems is the noncomplete linear system $\mid H - 2.o \mid$ of hyperplane sections of X which pass "twice" through the node o. In particular, $\mid H - 2.o \mid\cong\mid H'' - Q' \mid\cong \mathbf{P}^2$, i.e. it determines a rational map $p : X \to \mathbf{P}^2$. We shall see that p defines a birational conic bundle structure on X.

(N.2.2) Let $D'' \in\mid H'' - Q'' \mid$ be general, and let $D' \subset X'$ be the proper preimage of D''. Any component l'_i lies on D', since $l'_i.D' = l'_i.(H^* - 2Q') = deg\ l_i - 2.mult_o(l_i) = -1$. Therefore $\pi' : D' \to D''$ is a contraction of the six (-1)-curves $l'_i \subset D'$ to the points x''_i, $i = 1, ..., 6$, and these six points lie on the 1-cycle $C'' = Q'' \cap D''$. Now, the formal adjunction on the complete intersection divisor $Q'' + D''$ on the Fano threefold X'', and arguments involving general position, imply:

(i). The general D'' is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 6 embedded anticanonically in $\mathbf{P}^6$.

(ii). The 1-cycle $C'' = Q'' \cap D''$ is an anticanonical curve on Q'' and D''. In particular, C'' is a $(2, 2)$-curve on Q'' which pass through $x''_1, ..., x''_6$. Moreover:

(iii). The restriction map $D'' \to C'' = D''_{|Q''}$ defines an isomorphism between the $\mathbf{P}^2$-systems $\mid \mathcal{O}_{Q''}((2, 2) - \Sigma_{i=1,...,6} x''_i) \mid$ and $\mid H'' - Q'' \mid\cong\mid H^* - 2.Q' \mid$.

(i),(ii), and (iii) imply:

(N.2.3) Proposition. *The linear system $\mid H^* - 2Q' \mid$ defines a rational map $p' : X' \to \mathbf{P}^2$ without fixed components, and without fixed points outside the 1-dimensional exceptional set of π': $\cup\{l'_i, i = 1, ..., 6\}$.*

(N.2.4) *The D'-flop $\rho : X' \to X^+$.*

It follows from the (N.2.1)-(N.2.3) that the general element $D' \in\mid H^* - 2Q' \mid$ is a smooth irreducible surface passing through $\cup\{l'_i, i = 1, ..., 6\}$. Moreover, the map π' is an isomorphism outside $\cup\{l'_i, i = 1, ..., 6\}$, and $(-K_{X'}), l'_i = H'.l'_i = H^*.l'_i - Q'.l'_i = deg(l'_i) - mult_o(l_i) = 0$, $D'.l'_i = H^*.l'_i - 2Q'.l'_i = -1 < 0$.

Therefore – see (L.5) – there exists a D'-flop $\rho : X' \to X^+$ over X''.

In particular, let $(*)^+$ be the ρ-image of the cycle $(*)'$ on X', and let $\cup\{l^+_i\}$ be the 1-dimensional exceptional set of $\pi^+ : X^+ \to X''$. Then $-K_{X^+}.l^+_i = 0$, and $D^+.l^+_i > 0$ – by the properties of ρ.

We can describe such a D'-flop:

As it follows from Remark (N.1.2), the components L_i of the exceptional set of $\tau : \tilde{X} \to X'$ are smooth quadrics, and one can blow-down each of these 6 quadrics along the ruling transversal to this defined by τ. One obtains the map $\tau^+ : \tilde{X} \to X^+$. Now, it can be seen directly that the composition $\rho = \tau^+ \circ \tau : X' \to X^+$ is a D'-flop over X''. In particular, the components l_i^+ of the exceptional set of ρ are the bases of the 6 σ-processes which define τ^+, and $D^+.l_i^+ = 1 > 0, i = 1, ..., 6$.

(N.2.5) *The double projection from o – a rational conic bundle structure on X.*

Let $l_o \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ be the line representing the node $o \in X \subset G(2,5)$. Consider the $\mathbf{P}^2$-family of spaces: $\mathcal{P} := \{\mathbf{P}^3 \subset \mathbf{P}^4 : l_o \subset \mathbf{P}^3\}$. By the elementary Schubert calculus on $X = 2(\sigma_{1,o})^3$, the map

$\mathcal{P} \to \{$ 1-cycles on $X\}$: $\mathbf{P}^3 \mapsto \sigma_{1,1}(\mathbf{P}^3) \cap X$,

states an isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}$ and the family $\mathcal{C}_4[2]$ of rational quartic curves C on X of arithmetical genus 1 which have double point in the node o.

Let $C \in \mathcal{C}_4[2]$ and $D' \in \mid H^* - 2Q' \mid$ be general, and let C' be the proper σ_o-preimage of C. Then $D'.C' = (H^* - 2Q').C' = deg(C) - 2.mult_o(C) = 4 - 2.2 = 0$. This implies that the double projection from o contracts the curve C. Now, the following is the analog of (L.5.3) and (C.5.3):

Proposition. *The proper image C^+ of the general $C \in \mathcal{C}_4[2]$ is an extremal rational curve on X^+ defining the extremal ray $R = \mathbf{R}_+.[C^+]$. The general element of the numerical equivalence class $[C^+]$ is the proper ρ-image of the general C', $C \in \mathcal{C}_4[2]$, and the effective divisor $D^+ \cong (H^* - 2Q')^+$ is a supporting function for the corresponding extremal morphism ϕ_R.*

Moreover, ϕ_R defines a standard (see e.g. [Isk4]) conic bundle structure $p^+ := \phi_R : X^+ \to \mathbf{P}^2$ on X^+. In particular, the double projection from the node $o \in X$ – corresponding to the system $\mid H - 2.o \mid$ – defines a rational conic bundle structure $p : X \to \mathbf{P}^2$.

Proof. = the same as the proofs of (L.5.3) and (C.5.3).

It rests to be seen that ρ_R is a conic bundle projection. According to [Mo], this is equivalent to see that R is an extremal ray "of type (C1)" := ($dim.Image(\rho_R) = 2$, and $(-K_{X^+}).C^+ = 2$). The 2-dimensionality of $Image(\phi_R)$ has been already established. As regards the equality, $(-K_{X^+}).C^+ = H^+.C^+ = H'.C' = (H^* - Q').C' = deg(C) - mult_o(C) = 2$. **q.e.d.**

(N.2.6) *The discriminant of p^+.*

Let $\Delta = \{x \in \mathbf{P}^2 :$ the conic $q_x = (p^+)^{-1}(x)$ is singular$\}$ be the discriminant curve of p^+, let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the double discriminant curve – the curve of components of singular fibers of p^+, and let $\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$ be the induced double covering. Let (J, Θ) be the principally polarized (p.p.) intermediate jacobian of X^+ (= the abelian part of the jacobian $J(X)$), and let (P, Ξ) be the p.p. Prym variety of the pair $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$.

The varieties J and P are isomorphic as p.p. abelian varieties – see e.g. [B1]. In particular, $dim\ P = dim\ J = 9$ – since X has one node, and $h^{2,1}(X_{10}) = 10$ for the general X_{10}. Therefore, if one proves that $deg\ \Delta = 6$, then Δ will be smooth. Indeed, if $deg\ \Delta = 6$, then $dim\ P \leq p_a(\Delta) - 1 = 9$, and the equality takes place *iff* Δ is smooth, and the double covering$\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$ is unbranched.

Proposition. *The discriminant Δ of the conic bundle $p^+ : X^+ \to \mathbf{P}^2$ is a smooth plane sextic, and the induced double covering $\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$ is unbranched.*

Proof. It is enough to see that $deg\ \Delta = 6$ – see above.

Just as in the proof of (N.1.1)(i), it is enough to prove this equality for the general nodal Gushel threefold X', which is known by [Ili3] **q.e.d.**

(N.3) *Nodal X_{10} and plane sextics.*

(N.3.0) We shall see that the general plane sextic is a discriminant curve of the conic bundle structure p of a (non-unique) nodal $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$. To that purpose, we prove that the set $\mathcal{X}''$, of projections X'' of these X from their nodes, fills in the space $\mathcal{Z}$ of complete intersections of three quadrics containing a smooth quadratic surface, and that $\mathcal{Z}$ admits a natural surjective map to the space $\mathcal{R}_6$ of Beauville double coverings of plane sextics.

This will imply the two-dimensionality of the general fiber of the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}$. In addition, the discovered by A.Verra splitting of the fiber of the Prym map for $\mathcal{R}_6$ into two Beauville pairs, reflects in the splitting of the fiber of j into two irreducible components.

(N.3.1) Let, as usual, $X'' \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ be the projection of the nodal $X = X_{10}$ through the node o. According to (N.1.1), X'' is an intersection of three quadrics containing the smooth quadratic surface Q'', and the 6 nodes $x''_i, i = 1, ..., 6$ of X'' which lie on Q''. On the contrary, let Z be an intersection of three quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^6$ containing a quadric Q and 6 nodes $z_i, i = 1, .., 6$ on Q. Then – as one can easily see – after blowing-up of $z_i, i = 1, ..., 6$, and then blowing-down of the the obtained 6 exceptional quadrics along the appropriate rulings, one obtains a smooth threefold X', and a birational morphism $\pi' : X' \to Z$, such that the restriction $\pi' : Q' \to Q$ is an isomorphism (here Q' is the proper preimage of Q). Moreover, as one can easily check, the normal sheaf $N_{Q'|X'} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1}(-1, -1)$, i.e. Q' is contractible. The blow-down of Q' defines a threefold X with a unique node. We shall see that: *X is a nodal Fano threefold of degree* 10.

Sketch of proof. Since:

(1). $X' \to Z$ is an isomorphism outside codimension 2, and

(2). $-K_Z = H_Z$ is a hyperplane section of Z,

then $-K_{X'} = H' =$ the proper preimage of H_Z. Moreover, $\mathbf{Pic}(X') = \mathbf{Z}.H' + \mathbf{Z}.Q'$. Then, after the blow-down of Q':

(3). $-K_X = H =$ the proper image of H', and

(4). $\mathbf{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}.(-K_X)$,

i.e. X is a Fano 3-fold of index 1.

Therefore, $deg(X) = (-K_X)^3 = (-K_{X'})^3 + 2 = (-K_Z)^3 + 2 = deg(Z) + 2 = 10$ (The 2^{nd} equality follows from the property of blow-up of a node. The 3^{rd} equality is true since $X' \to Z$ is an isomorphism outside codimension 2.)

In fact, requiring – in addition – an existence of 6 nodes $z_1, ..., z_6$ is superfluous. We shall verify this.

(N.3.2) Proposition. *Let $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ be a complete intersection of three quadrics containing a fixed smooth quadratic surface Q. Then:*

(i). *There exists a linear pencil $\mathbf{P}$ of quadrics which contains Z, such that $rank(\mathcal{Q}_t) = 6, \forall \mathcal{Q}_t \in \mathbf{P}$, and one of the following two alternatives takes place:*

(a). *the vertices of the general two different quadrics of $\mathbf{P}$ do not coincide;*

(b). *two different (hence – the general two) quadrics of $\mathbf{P}$ have a common vertex.*

Moreover, if Z is general, then Z fulfills (a).

(ii). *The rational projection X'' from the node of the general nodal $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ is a complete intersection of three quadrics, containing a quadratic surface, for which the alternative (a) takes place.*

(iii). *The general Z is canonically birational to a nodal $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, and the birational isomorphism $Z \to X$ is the same described in (N.3.1); in particular, Z has* 6 *nodes lying on Q.*

Proof.

(i). Let $\Pi = \mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2(Z))$ be the plane of quadrics containing $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^6$, and let $\mathbf{P}^3_o = Span(Q)$.

The $\mathbf{P}^2$-family of quadrics $\{Q : Q \in \Pi\}$ intersects on $\mathbf{P}^3_o$ the single quadratic surface Q. Therefore, there exists a pencil $\mathbf{P} \subset \Pi$ of quadrics which contain the space $\mathbf{P}^3_o$. The pencil $\mathbf{P}$ is linear: If $\mathcal{Q}_o, \mathcal{Q}_\infty \in \mathbf{P}$, then $\mathcal{Q}_t = \mathcal{Q}_o + t.\mathcal{Q}_\infty \in \mathbf{P}, \forall t \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$ – since $\mathcal{Q}_t \supset \mathbf{P}^3_o$. That is, $\mathbf{P}$ is a line in $\Pi \cong \mathbf{P}^2$.

The general choice of Z implies that the general $\mathcal{Q}_t$ is a quadric of rank 6. Let $v_t = sing(\mathcal{Q}_t)$ be the vertex of the general $\mathcal{Q}_t$. Clearly, $v_t \in \mathbf{P}^3_o$.

There are two possibilities:

(a'). $v_o \neq v_\infty$, and (b'). $v_o = v_\infty$.

The possibility (b') corresponds to the alternative (b). In this setting, it is clear that case (b) is a degeneration of the case (a) – if two (hence – the general two) quadrics, containing $\mathbf{P}^3_o$, have coincident vertices.

We shall see that for the general Z all the quadrics $\mathcal{Q}_t \in \mathbf{P}$ are of rank 6.

Let Q be a (fixed) smooth quadratic surface in $\mathbf{P}^6$, and let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the moduli space

$\mathcal{Z} = \{Z \subset \mathbf{P}^6 : Z \supset Q$, and is a complete intersection of thee quadrics $\}/\cong$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}^a \subset \mathcal{Z}$ be the open subset of these $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ for which the alternative (a) takes place.

Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ be general, in particular, $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^a$; and let D_k be the determinantal set of quadrics of rank $\leq k$, in $\mathbf{P}^6$, $k = 1, ..., 7$. It is well-known (or, one can see this directly) that

$D_k \subset D_{k+1}$, $k = 1, ..., 6$, and

$codim.(D_k \subset D_7) = (7-k)(7-k+1)/2$, $k = 1, ..., 6$.

Now, the general position of the line $\mathbf{P} \subset D_6$ gives that $\mathbf{P}$ does not intersect D_5 – since $codim.(D_5 \subset D_6) = 2 > dim(\mathbf{P}) = 1$, i.e. all the quadrics $P_t \in \mathbf{P} \subset D_6$ have to be of rank 6.

It follows from the preceding that the vertex map $v : \mathbf{P}^1 \cong \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{P}^3_o$ is regular at any point $P_t \in \mathbf{P}$.

Let $C_v = v(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathbf{P}^3_o$ be the image of v. Then C_v is an irreducible curve in $\mathbf{P}^3_o$. The map v is an isomorphism, since otherwise the vertices of two elements of $\mathbf{P}$ will coincide (= the alternative (b)). In particular, C_v is reduced – as a scheme-image of $\mathbf{P}$. Therefore $C_v \subset \mathbf{P}^3_o$ is a smooth irreducible rational curve. This proves (i).

(iii). Let $d = deg(C_v)$, and let $\mathcal{Q} \notin \mathbf{P}$ be a general quadric which contains Z. It follows, from the definition of the vertex curve C_v, that the fourfold W – defined by the pencil of quadrics $\mathbf{P}$ – has a double singularity along C_v. Moreover, the $2d$ points $z_1, ..., z_{2d}$ of intersection of C_v and $\mathcal{Q}$ lie on $Q = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{P}^3$, and these $2d$ points are nodes of $Z = W \cap \mathcal{Q}$; and the general choice of Z implies that the only singularities of Z are the $2d$ nodes $z_1, ..., z_{2d}$.

Just as in (N.3.1), one can blow up $z_1, ..., z_{2d}$, etc. The preimage $Q' \subset X'$ of Q is a contractible quadric. After blowing down Q', one obtains a 3-fold X which has a node o on the place of Q. Now, the same argument as in (N.3.1) (see the proof of (3)-(4), in (N.3.1)) implies that X is a Fano 3-fold of index 1 and of degree 10.

In particular, $d = deg(C_v) = 3$, i.e. the vertex curve C_v is a twisted cubic, for the general element of $\mathcal{Z}$. This proves (iii).

(ii). Let $X'' \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ be the projection from the node of the general nodal $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$. Clearly, X'' represents an element $[X''] \in \mathcal{Z}$, and we have to see that $[X''] \in \mathcal{Z}^a$.

In this case, the line $\mathbf{P} \subset \Pi$ coincides with the line Pf of Pfaffians defining the projection W'' of the fourfold $W = W_5$. We shall find this line, in canonical coordinates on W – see Lemma (A.2.2):

Let x_{ij} be canonical coordinates on W. In these coordinates $W \subset G(2,5)$ is defined by the hyperplanes:

$H_0:\ x_{03} = x_{14},\ H_1:\ x_{04} = x_{23}$.

We can fix the general point $o \in W$, which is a node of $X = X_{10}$, to be $o = e_{34}$. Indeed, the prehomogeneous fourfold W is a closure of the $Aut(W)$-orbit $Orb(e_{34})$ – and all the points of $Orb(e_{3,4}) = W - Y_o$ are $Aut(W)$-equivalent to each other (see Proposition (A.5.1)). Then, one can choose $(x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{12}, x_{03}, x_{04}, x_{13}, x_{24})$ to be coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^6$.

The line Pf is spanned on the quadrics:

$P_o = Pfaff(e_3) = x_{01}x_{24} - x_{02}x_{14} + x_{04}x_{12} = x_{01}x_{24} - x_{02}x_{03} + x_{04}x_{12}$ and

$P_\infty = Pfaff(e_4) = x_{01}x_{23} - x_{02}x_{13} + x_{03}x_{12} = x_{01}x_{04} - x_{02}x_{13} + x_{03}x_{12}$

Clearly, $rank(P_o) = rank(P_\infty) = 6$, $v(P_o) = sing(P_o) = e_{03}$, $v(P_\infty) = sing(P_\infty) = e_{24}$, i.e. (a') takes place.

Let $t \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$. Then $P_t = P_o + t.P_\infty =$

$x_{01}(x_{24} + t.x_{04}) - x_{02}(x_{03} + t.x_{13}) + x_{12}(x_{04} + t.x_{03})$.

Therefore $rank(P_t) = 6, \forall t \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$.

The common 3-space of the quadrics P_t is $\mathbf{P}^3_o = Span(e_{03}, e_{04}, e_{13}, e_{24})$.

In the projective base $(e_{03}, e_{04}, e_{13}, e_{24})$ of $\mathbf{P}^3_o$, the vertex $v_t = sing(P_t) = e_{13} - t.e_{03} + t^2.e_{04} - t^3.e_{24}$. Therefore, the vertex curve C_v is a rational twisted cubic in $\mathbf{P}^3_o$, i.e. $d = 3$. In particular, the general X'' is subject to the alternative (a). This proves (ii).

(N.3.3) *Description of the space* $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X}''$.

Let $\mathcal{X}''$ be the moduli space of projections of the nodal X_{10} from their node, and let $\mathcal{Z}^a \subset \mathcal{Z}$ be as in (N.3.2).

As it follows from (N.3.2), the general elements of $\mathcal{Z}$ and of $\mathcal{X}''$ coincide; in particular $dim\ \mathcal{Z} = dim\ \mathcal{Z}^a = dim\ \mathcal{X}'' = dim\ \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal} = dim\ \mathcal{X} - 1 = 21$ (see also (A.6.2)).

There is also a direct way to describe the moduli space $\mathcal{Z}$.

Description of $\mathcal{Z}$.

By definition, the elements of $\mathcal{Z}$ are the isomorphism classes $[Z]$ of complete intersections $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ of 3 quadrics, containing a smooth quadratic surface Q. We can let Q be fixed, and let $\mathbf{P}^3 = span(Q) \subset \mathbf{P}^6$. It can be seen directly, e.g. – by regarding reducible $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, that the general $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ has no biregular automorphisms (see, for example, the proof of Lemma

(A.6.1)); i.e., one can identify the general Z and the class $[Z]$ of Z. It follows that $\mathcal{Z}$ is generically isomorphic to the quotient space

$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{P}/St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(Q)$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the variety of complete intersections of 3 quadrics through Q, and $St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(Q)$ is the stabilizer of Q in $Aut(\mathbf{P}^6) = \mathbf{PGL}(7)$.

On the other hand, the affinization $\mathbf{C}^*.St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(Q)$ of $St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(Q)$ is an extension of $\mathbf{C}^*.St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(\mathbf{P}^3$, via $\mathbf{C}^*.\mathbf{P}(SO(4)) = \mathbf{C}^*.St_{\mathbf{P}^3}(Q)$. Therefore $dim\, St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(Q) = dim\, St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(\mathbf{P}^3 + dim\, \mathbf{P}(SO(4)) + 1$ $= 21 + 7 - 1 = 27$.

On the other hand, the variety $\mathcal{P}$ of complete intersections of three quadrics through the fixed quadratic surface Q is naturally isomorphic to the 48-dimensional grassmannian $G(3,19)$ of 3-dimensional subspaces in the 19-dimensional space $H^o(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^6}(2) - Q)$.

Therefore $dim\, \mathcal{Z} = dim\, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = dim\, \mathcal{P} - dim\, St_{\mathbf{P}^7}(Q) = 48 - 27 = 21$. **q.e.d.**

(N.3.4) *The determinantal sextic of* $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Let $Z = X'' \in \mathcal{Z}^a = \mathcal{X}''$ be general, and let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(\mathsf{I}_2(W''))$ be the line of Pfaffians defining the projection W'' of the fourfold $W = W_5$ (see the proof of (N.3.2)(ii)).

Let $\Pi = \Pi(Z) = \{\mathcal{Q}(s) : (s) \in \mathbf{P}^2\}$ be the plane of quadrics through Z, and let $\Delta_7(Z) = \{\mathcal{Q} \in \Pi(Z) : \mathcal{Q} \in D_6\} = \{(s) \in \mathbf{P}^2 : det(\mathcal{Q}(s)) = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be the determinantal curve (of degree 7) of the plane of quadrics Π.

For the general $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, any $\mathcal{Q} \in \Delta_7$ is a quadric of rank 6 (see the proof of (N.3.2)(ii)); and the two rulings of $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q} \in \Delta_7$, define a unbranched double covering $\pi_7 : \tilde{\Delta}_7 \to \Delta_7$. (The covering is unbranched also in the double points of Δ_7 – the preimage of any double point of Δ_7 is a pair of double points of $\tilde{\Delta}_7$.) Obviously, if Π were a general plane in the space $\mid \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^6}(2) \mid$, then Δ_7 will be smooth, and π_7 will be a unbranched double covering. It is well-known that the intermediate jacobian $(J(X_8), \Theta)$ of a threefold X_8, defined by such Π, is isomorphic, as a p.p. abelian variety, to the Prym variety (P, Ξ) of the pair $(\tilde{\Delta}_7, \Delta_7)$ (see [B1]).

However, if $Z \in \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X}''$ is general, and $\Pi = \Pi(Z)$ is the plane of quadrics containing Z, then the determinantal curve $\Delta_7(Z)$ is reducible – it contains the line of Pfaffians $\mathbf{P}$. Moreover, any quadric $\mathcal{Q}(s) \in \mathbf{P}$ has rank 6 (see (N.3.2)(ii)). It is also not hard to see that, for such Z, the residue curve $\Delta(Z) = \Delta_7(Z) - \mathbf{P}$ is a smooth plane sextic (see e.g. the proof of Proposition (N.2.6)), which intersects $\mathbf{P}$ in 6 disjoint points: $(s)_1, ..., (s)_6$.

Definition. We call the residue curve $\Delta = \Delta(Z)$ the determinantal sextic of $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^a$.

The curve $\tilde{\Delta}_7$ splits into a union of three smooth irreducible curves $\Delta_7 = \tilde{\Delta} + \mathbf{P}' + \mathbf{P}''$, such that :

(i). the double covering π_7 induces a unbranched double covering $\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$;

(ii). $\mathbf{P}'$ and $\mathbf{P}''$ are disjoint smooth rational curves, and π_7 induces on $\mathbf{P}'$ and $\mathbf{P}''$ the isomorphisms $\pi' : \mathbf{P}' \to \mathbf{P} \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, and $\pi'' : \mathbf{P}'' \to \mathbf{P} \cong \mathbf{P}^1$.

(iii). $(\pi_7)^{-1}(\{(s)_1, ..., (s)_6\}) = \{(s)'_1, .., (s)'_6\} \cup \{(s)''_1, .., (s)''_6\}$, where $\{(s)'_1, .., (s)'_6\} = \tilde{\Delta} \cap \mathbf{P}'$, $\{(s)''_1, .., (s)''_6\} = \tilde{\Delta} \cap \mathbf{P}'$, and $\pi_7(s)'_i) = \pi_7(s)''_i = (s)_i, i = 1, ..., 6$.

(N.3.5) Proposition. *Let* $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ *be general, let* Δ *be the determinantal sextic of* Z, *and let* $\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$ *be the induced by* π_7 *unbranched double covering, and let* $(J(Z), \Theta)$ *be (the abelian part of) the intermediate jacobian of* Z. *Then* $(J(Z), \Theta)$ *is isomorphic, as a p.p. abelian variety, to the Prym variety* (P, Ξ) *of the pair* $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$.

The proof is a straightforward specialization of the same statement for the general complete intersection of three quadrics (see e.g. [Tju, ch.3, sect.2]).

(N.3.6) *The map* $\tilde{det} : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{R}^{even}_{6+1}$.

It follows from (N.3.4) that the general $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ defines uniquely the determinantal pair $(\tilde{\Delta}_7, \Delta_7) = (\tilde{\Delta} \cup \mathbf{P}' \cup \mathbf{P}'', \Delta \cup \mathbf{P})$ satisfying the properties (N.3.4)(i),(ii),(iii). Moreover, since Z is a complete intersection of three quadrics, the determinantal pair of Z must be *even* (see e.g. [Tju, ch.3,sect.2]).

We shall see that the opposite is also true.

Let $\mathcal{R}_d (d = 6, 7)$ be the moduli space of double coverings of plane curves of degree d, and let $\mathcal{R}_{6+1} \subset \mathcal{R}_7$ be the moduli space of double coverings satisfying (N.3.4)(i),(ii),(iii). Let $\mathcal{R}^{even}_7 \subset \mathcal{R}_7$, (resp. $\mathcal{R}^{even}_{6+1} \subset \mathcal{R}_{6+1}$) be their components of even (i.e. admissible – see [FS]) coverings of corresponding plane septics.

Let $\mathcal{X}_{2.2.2}$ be the moduli space of complete intersections of three quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^6$. The determinantal map $\tilde{det} : \mathcal{X}_{2.2.2} \to \mathcal{R}^{even}_7$, $X_{2.2.2} \to (\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)(X_{2.2.2})$ is well-defined in the generic point $X_{2.2.2}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{2.2.2}$, as well – in the general point Z of the subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}_{2.2.2}$. Moreover, $\tilde{det}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{R}^{even}_{6+1}$.

Proposition. *(The restriction of) the determinantal map* $\tilde{det} : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{R}^{even}_{6+1}$ *is surjective and of degree* 1 *in the general point.*

Lemma. *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a general linear pencil of quadrics of rank* 6 *in* $\mathbf{P}^6$*. Then the quadrics of* $\mathbf{P}$ *have a common* $\mathbf{P}^3$.

Proof of the lemma. Assume that $\mathbf{P} = \{\mathcal{Q}(t) : (t) \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$ is a general linear pencil of quadrics of rank 6 in $\mathbf{P}^6$, and let $W_{2.2}$ be the base set of $\mathbf{P}$. Any $\mathcal{Q}(t)$ is a cone with a 0-dimensional vertex – a point $v(t) \in \mathbf{P}^6$. Let $C_v = \{v(t) : t \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$ be the set of vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(t)$. By the theorem of Bertini, $C_v \subset W_{2.2}$. The general choice of $\mathbf{P}$ implies that C_v is a curve. Moreover, $C_v \subset Sing(W_{2.2})$.

Let $Span(C_v)$ be the projective linear span of $C_v \subset \mathbf{P}^6$. Since the base set $W_{2.2}$ is an intersection of quadrics, and $C_v \subset Sing(W_{2.2})$, then any bisecant line of $C_v \subset Sing(W_{2.2})$ lies in $W_{2.2}$. Therefore $Span(C_v) \subset W_{2.2}$, i.e. the projective space $\mathbf{P}^d = Span(C_v)$ lies on any quadric $\mathcal{Q}(t) \in \mathbf{P}$. In particular, $d = dim\ \mathbf{P}^d =: d(\mathbf{P}) \leq 3$, since $\mathbf{P}^3$ is the projective subspace of maximal dimension, in a quadric of rank 6 in $\mathbf{P}^6$. Clearly, the integer-valued function $d : \mathbf{P} \to d(\mathbf{P})$ takes the maximum value d_o in the general pencil $\mathbf{P}$. If we find a pencil $\mathbf{P}_o$ such that $d(\mathbf{P}_o) = 3$, then $d_o = 3$. This is the case, when $\mathbf{P}$ comes from the set of Pfaffians defining the projection $W_{2.2}$ of the fourfold W_5, through a general point $o \in W_5$ (see the proof of (N.3.2)(ii)). Therefore $d_o = 3$. *q.e.d.*

Proof of the proposition. On the one hand, it is known by [FS] that $\tilde{det}$ defines a generically one-to-one correspondence $\tilde{det} \subset \mathcal{X}_{2.2.2} \times \mathcal{R}^{even}_7$ (= a birational isomorphism $\tilde{det} : \mathcal{X}_{2.2.2} \to \mathcal{R}^{even}_7$); see also [D1]. In particular, any fiber of $\tilde{det}$ has to be connected – since the general fiber (= a point) is connected.

On the other hand, the lemma implies that if $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta) \in \mathcal{R}^{even}_{6+1}$, then the elements of the line $\mathbf{P}$, occurring as a component of Δ_7, have a common $\mathbf{P}^3$. Therefore, any $X \in \mathbf{X}_{2.2.2}$ defined by a plane of quadrics $\Pi \supset \mathbf{P}$, must contain a quadratic surface, i.e. $X \in \mathcal{Z}$.

In particular,

(1). the fiber F of $\tilde{det}$, over the general element $\mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}$ must lie entirely in $\mathcal{Z}$, i.e. the set of these $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ which have the same determinantal pair, must be connected (see above).

The surjectivity of $\tilde{det} : \mathcal{X}_{2.2.2} \to \mathcal{R}_7^{even}$, together with (1), imply:

(2). $\tilde{det} : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}$ is surjective;

(3). the $\tilde{det}$-preimage of $\mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}$ lies entirely in $\mathcal{Z}$.

It rests to see that $dim\ \mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even} = 21 (= dim\ \mathcal{Z}$. Then the general fiber of $\tilde{det}\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}$ will be a point.

According to (N.3.4)(i),(ii),(iii), the variety $\mathcal{R}_{6+1}$ admits a natural surjective map

$\psi : \mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even} \to \mathcal{R}_6$, $(\tilde{\Delta}_6 \cup \mathbf{P}' \cup \mathbf{P}'') \mapsto (\tilde{\Delta}_6 \cup \mathbf{P})$.

As it follows from the preceding, the general fiber $(\psi)^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}_6 \cup \mathbf{P})$ is isomorphic to the 2-dimensional set $\mathcal{S}$ of 6-tuples:

$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\Delta)\ \{(s)'_1, ..., (s)'_6\} \in Symm^6(\tilde{\Delta}_6) : \pi((s)'_1 + ... + (s)'_6) \in \mid \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_6}(1) \mid\}$.

It is known – see [B3] – that $\mathcal{S}$ is a union of two irreducible 2-dimensional components $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \cup \mathcal{S}^-$, dependent on the parity of the elements of $(\psi)^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}_6 \cup \mathbf{P})$. Therefore, one of these two components, say $\mathcal{S}^+$ must belong to $\mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}$, the restriction $\psi^{even} := \psi_{|\mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}} : \mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even} \to \mathcal{R}_6$ is surjective, and the general fiber of ψ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}^+$. In particular, $dim\ \mathcal{R}_{6+1} = dim\ \mathcal{R}_6 + 2 = 21$ **q.e.d.**

(N.3.7) Corollary. *The natural map* $\psi \circ \tilde{det} : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{R}_6$ *is surjective, and the general fiber of* $\psi \circ \tilde{det}$, *over the covering* $\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$, *is isomorphic to one of the two irreducible components of the surface* $\mathcal{S}(\Delta) = \pi^*(\mid \mathcal{O}_\Delta(1) \mid)$.

(N.3.8) *The fiber of the intermediate jacobian map* $j : \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal} \to \mathcal{A}_9$.

Let $j : \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal} = \mathcal{X}'' = \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{A}_9$ be the intermediate jacobian map: $j : Z \mapsto j(Z) = (J(Z), \Theta)$, where $J(Z)$ is the abelian part of the generalized intermediate jacobian $J(Z)^{gen}$ of the singular threefold Z. Clearly, $J(Z) = J(X)$, where X is the nodal Fano threefold of degree 10 canonically birational to Z – see (N.3.2).

We shall identify $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X}''$ and $\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$, as well their intermediate jacobian maps j.

Proposition. *Let* $j : \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal} \to \mathcal{A}_9$ *be the intermediate jacobian map, defined in the general* $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$ *by* $j : X \mapsto (J(X), \Theta)$ *– where* $J(X)$ *is the abelian part of the generalized intermediate jacobian of* X, *and* Θ *is the theta-divisor of principal polarization on* $J(X)$. *Let* $\mathcal{J}_{10}^{nodal}$ *be the image of* j, *let* $j(X)$ *be a general point of* $\mathcal{J}_{10}^{nodal}$, *and let* $j^{-1}(j(X)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$ *be the fiber of* j *through* X. *Then* $j^{-1}(j(X)) = F \cup F'$, *where* F *and* F' *are irreducible surfaces. Moreover, let* $Z = X''$ *be the projection of* X *from the node* $o \in X$, *let* Δ *be the determinantal sextic of* Z, *and let* $\pi : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$ *be the induced double covering. Then one of the components of the fiber, say* F, *is isomorphic to one of the two irreducible components, say* $\mathcal{S}^+$, *of the variety* $\mathcal{S} = \{D \in Symm^6(\tilde{\Delta}) : \pi_*(D) \in \mid \mathcal{O}_\Delta(1) \mid\}$. *Moreover,* F' *is isomorphic to a similar component* $\mathcal{S}'^+$, *obtained from the pair* $(\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$ *which is the unique involutive of* $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$ *under the Dixon correspondence (see [Ve]) in* $\mathcal{R}_6$.

Proof. Let $p : \mathcal{R}_6 \to \mathcal{A}_9$ be the Prym map $p : (\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta) \mapsto$ the p.p. Prym variety $Prym(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta) = (P, \Xi)$. As it follows from the recent result of Verra, the fiber $p^{-1}(p(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta))$ has exactly two elements – the pair $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$, and the pair $(\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$, obtained from $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$ by the Dixon correspondence for double coverings of plane sextics – see [Ve].

Let $\mathcal{S}'$ be the analog of the surface $\mathcal{S}$, for the pair $(\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$. As it follows from (N.3.6), one of the two irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}'$, say $\mathcal{S}'^{+}$, is in 1-by-1 correspondence with the family of these $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ the determinantal pair of which coincides with $(\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$.

The elements $Z \in \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$, which are in 1-by-1 correspondence with the elements of the two described 2-dimensional families $\mathcal{S}^{+}$ and $\mathcal{S}'^{+}$, sweep out one entire fiber of j. Indeed, $j(Z) = p(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta) = p(\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$, for any such Z. Moreover, the 1-by-1 correspondence between $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even}$, the irreducibility of the fiber $\mathcal{S}^{+}$ of the natural projection $\mathcal{R}_{6+1}^{even} \to \mathcal{R}_{6}$, and the Verra's result that $deg(p) = 2$, imply that the elements $F \cup F'$ sweep out one complete fiber of j. **q.e.d.**

(N.3.9) Remark.

Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, and let X be the nodal Fano 3 fold of degree 10 for which $Z = X''$ = the projection of X from its node. Define $F(Z)$ to be this component of the family of conics on $Z = X''$, the general element of which is a projection of a conic on X. The numerical definition of such a conic $q \subset Z$ is: $deg(q) = 2$ and $(q.Q) = 0$, where $Q \subset Z$ is the quadratic surface which lie on Z. That is, $F(Z)$ is the projection of the Fano surface $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of conics on X.

Let $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$ be the determinantal pair of Z, and let $\mathcal{S}^{+} \subset Symm^{6}(\tilde{\Delta})$ be the "even" component of $\mathcal{S}$ parameterizing the elements of the component F of $j^{-1}(j(Z))$ which pass through Z – see (N.3.6).

It can be seen that there exists a natural birational isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{S}^{+}$ – see [L], or (F.5.4). Assuming the birational isomorphism ϕ, it follows that the Fano surfaces $F(Z)$, of the elements Z of the component F (see (N.3.8), are birational to each other (any $F(Z)$ is birational to F). Moreover, let X be the unique nodal Fano 3-fold of degree 10, for which $Z = X''$ = the projection of X from its node.

Since, by definition, the general conic of $F(Z)$ is a projection of a conic from the Fano surface $\mathcal{F}(X)$, this implies that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is birational to the component F of $j^{-1}(j(X))$. (Here the families $\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$, as well their intermediate jacobian maps to $\mathcal{A}_{9}$, are identified.)

The same is true for the elements Z' of the residue component F' of the fiber $j^{-1}(j(Z))$, and for the Dixon involutive pair $(\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$.

* * *

In the next section, we shall see that similar results are true also for the general Fano threefold of degree 10 : The fiber of the intermediate jacobian map $j : \mathcal{X}_{10}$ is a union of two surfaces $F \cup \overline{F}$, s.t. F (resp. $\overline{F}$) is birational to the Fano surface $F(X)$ of any threefold X representing a point of F (resp. $\overline{F}$).

F. The fiber of the Griffiths intermediate jacobian map $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}$.

(F.0) In this section we describe the general fiber of the Griffiths map on $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ – see Theorem (F.6).

(F.1) Proposition. *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be general, let q be a general conic on X, and let $\alpha_q : X \to X_q \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be the conic transformation of X defined by q. Then X_q is not biregular to X, i.e. α_q is not a birational automorphism of X.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X)$ be the Fano surface of conics on X – see (C.1), and let $q \in \mathcal{F}$ be general. According to (C.6), α_q transforms the pair $(X, q), q \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ to a pair $(X_q, \overline{q}), \overline{q} \in \mathcal{F}(X_q)$.

Assume that q is a general conic on X, and $X_q = X$.

Let $M = M_q \in \mid 2.H - 3.q \mid$ and $\overline{M} = M_{\overline{q}} \in \mid 2.H - 3.\overline{q} \mid$ be the exceptional divisors of α_q and $\alpha_{\overline{q}}$ – see (C.3.1)-(C.3.2), and let $\sigma = \sigma_q : X' \to X$ and $\overline{\sigma} = \sigma_{\overline{q}} : X^+ \to X_q = X$ be the blow-ups of q and $\overline{q}$, defined in the construction of the map α_q (see (C.2.1)). Let $Q' = \sigma^{-1}(q) \subset X'$ and $\overline{Q}^+ = \overline{\sigma}^{-1}(\overline{q}) \subset X^+$ be the exceptional divisors of σ and $\overline{\sigma}$. Let $M' \subset X'$ be the proper preimage of $M \subset X$, and let $\overline{M}^+ \subset X^+$ be the proper preimage of $M^+ \subset X_q = X$.

Let $\rho : X' \to X^+$ be the flop, defined by α_q. Then, by the involutive property (C.6) of α_q, the flop $\overline{\rho} : X^+ \to X'$, defined by $\alpha_{\overline{q}}$, coincides with ρ^{-1}. Let $M^+ \subset X^+$ be the proper ρ-image of M' on X^+, and let $\overline{M}' \subset X'$ be the proper ρ-preimage of $\overline{M}$ on X'. Then, according to (C.5.3) (, or (C.6.2)), $M^+ = \overline{Q}^+$, and $\overline{M}' = Q'$. There are 4 possibilities:

(a). $\overline{q} \neq q$, and $\overline{q} \cap q = \oslash$;

(b). $\overline{q} \neq q$, but $\overline{q}$ intersects q in a single point;

(c). $\overline{q} = \tilde{q} =$ the unique involutive conic of q – see (C.3.3);

(d). $\overline{q} = q$.

In case (a), the coincidences $M^+ = \overline{Q}^+$ (= the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $\overline{q}$), and $\overline{M}' = Q'$ (= the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of q), imply that the divisors $Q' \subset X'$ and M' (= the proper ρ-preimage, in X', of $M^+ = \overline{Q}^+$) are disjoint, which contradicts the definition of the divisor $M \in \mid 2.H - 3.q \mid$.

In cases (b) and (c), the same coincidences as in (a), imply that Q' and M' intersect each other in a union of fibers of the natural projections $\sigma : Q' \to q$ and $\overline{\sigma} \circ \rho : M' \to M^+ = \overline{Q} \to \overline{q}$. This is also impossible by the definitions of M and $\overline{M}$: the surface $M \subset X$ coincides with the union of rational quartic curves $C \subset X$ which intersect q in 3 points – see (C.3.1)-(C.3.2). As it follows from the construction of α_q – see (C.6.2), the last implies that the intersection cycle $M' \cap Q'$ is a 3-section of $\sigma : Q' \to q$ – contradiction.

In case (d), the conics q and $\overline{q}$ are coincident. Therefore X' and X^+ can be identified, i.e. $X' = X^+$. In particular $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}$, and $\overline{M}' = Q' = \overline{Q}^+ = M^+$ (the left and the right coincidence – by $X_q = X$, and $Q' = \overline{Q}^+$ – by $q = \overline{q}$ and $X' = X^+$). However, $M' \neq M^+$, since the flop $\rho : M' \to M^+$ is not a biregular isomorphism – for example, ρ blows-down the exceptional curves $l'_i, q'_j, \tilde{q}' \subset M'$ – see (C.1.1), (C.2.2), (C.6.2). Therefore, case (d) is also impossible. **q.e.d.**

(F.2) Proposition. *Let q_1 and q_2 be two general conics on X, s.t. $q_1 \neq q_2$, and let*

$\alpha_{q_1} : X \to X_{q_1}$ and $\alpha_{q_2} : X \to X_{q_2}$ be the conic transformations of X, defined by q_1 and q_2. Then $X_{q_1} \neq X_{q_2}$.

Proof: (see the proof of (3.1). Just as in (F.1), the proof of (F.2) can be based on the intersection properties of exceptional divisors "of type M" and "of type Q":

Let $\alpha_{q_1} : X \to X_{q_1}$, and $\alpha_{q_2} : X \to X_{q_2}$ be the conic transformations of X, defined by q_1 and q_2. By assumption $q_1 \neq q_2$, but $X_{q_1} = X_{q_2} =: \overline{X}$. Let $\overline{q_1} \subset \overline{X}$ and $\overline{q_2} \subset \overline{X}$ be the conics on $Y = X_{q_1} = X_{q_2}$, defined by the pairs (X, q_1) and (X, q_2) – see (C.6). Then, by the involutive property (C.6) of α, $\alpha_{\overline{q}}(\overline{X}) = X$, $\alpha_{\overline{q}}(\overline{X}) = X$, and the pairs (X, q_1) and (X, q_2) are defined respectively as conic transformations of the pairs $(\overline{X}, \overline{q_1})$ and $(\overline{X}, \overline{q_2})$ (see ....).

Let H and $\overline{H}$ be the hyperplane sections of X and $\overline{X}$. Let $M_1 \in \mid 2.H - 3.q_1 \mid$, $M_2 \in \mid 2.H - 3.q_2 \mid$, $\overline{M}_1 \in \mid 2.\overline{H} - 3.\overline{q_1} \mid$, and $\overline{M} \in \mid 2.\overline{H} - 3.\overline{q_2} \mid$ be the exceptional divisors of the corresponding conic transformations, defined by q_1, q_2, $\overline{q_1}$, and $\overline{q_2}$. Let $\sigma_1 : X_1' \to X$, $\sigma_2 : X_2' \to X$, $\overline{\sigma}_1 : X_1^+ \to \overline{X}$, and $\overline{\sigma}_2 : X_2^+ \to \overline{X}$ be the blow-ups of q_1, q_2, $\overline{q_1}$, and $\overline{q_2}$, and let Q_1', Q_2', $\overline{Q}_1^+$, and $\overline{Q}_2^+$ be the exceptional divisors of the corresponding blow-ups of q_1, q_2, $\overline{q_1}$, and $\overline{q_2}$. Just as in the proof of (F.1), the coincidence $X_{q_1} = X_{q_2} (= \overline{X})$ imply that the proper preimages of M_i and $\overline{Q}_i$ coincide on X_1', X_2', X_1^+, and X_2^+, $i = 1, 2$. Now, corresponding to the cases

(a). q_1 and q_2 are disjoint,

(b). $q_1 \cap q_2 =$ (a point),

(c). $q_2 = \tilde{q_1} =$ the unique the involutive of the conic q_1,

these coincidences imply that the preimages of the surfaces M_1 and M_2 must intersect each other along a (possible empty) union of fibers – rational quartic curves intersecting q_1 and q_2 in 3 points. As in (3.1), this is impossible. Indeed, let $C \subset M_1$ be a general fiber of M_1 – a rational quartic curve on X, intersecting q_1 in 3 points. Then C intersects the quadratic section $M_2 \in \mid 2.H - 3.q_2 \mid \subset \mid 2.H \mid$, in $8 = 2.deg(C)$ points. Therefore the intersection $M_1 \cap M_2$ is a 8-section (not a union of fibers), on any of the mentioned proper preimages of M_1 and M_2 – contradiction. Therefore $X_p \neq X_q$. **q.e.d.**

(F.2.1) Corollary. *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be general, and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X)$ be the Fano surface of conics on X. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be the rational map, defined by the closure (in $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{X}_{10}$) of the graph-correspondence:*

$\Sigma = \{(q, Z) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{X}_{10} : q \textit{ is a general conic on } X, \textit{ and } Z = X_q\}$.

Then α defines a birational isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \alpha(\mathcal{F})$ onto its image $\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}$.

(F.3) Proposition. *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be general, and let $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \alpha(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be the map defined in (F.1.1).*

Let $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}$ be the intermediate jacobian map on $\mathcal{X}_{10}$, defined (in the general point $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$) by

$j : X \mapsto$ the p.p. intermediate jacobian $(J(X), \Theta)$ of X. Let $\mathcal{J}_{10} \subset \mathcal{A}_{10}$ be the image of j, and let $j^{-1}(j(X)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be the fiber of j over the (general) point $j(X) \in \mathcal{J}_{10}$.

Then the surface $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is an irreducible component of maximal dimension of the fiber $j^{-1}(j(X))$.

Proof. For the general $X in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is a smooth irreducible surface – see [L], or [Ili1]. According to (F.2.1), $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X)$ is birational to $\mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X)$ is an irreducible surface in $\mathcal{X}_{10}$.

The inclusion $\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \subset j^{-1}(j(X))$ will follow from the coincidence of the p.p. intermediate jacobians $J(X)$ and $J(X_q)$, for the general conic $q \subset X$. This is obvious: By construction – see (C.6.2) – the conic transformation $\alpha_q : X \to X_q$ is a product of σ-processes centered on smooth rational curves; and any such σ-process does not change the intermediate jacobian of the threefold; see also (I.1).

In rests to see that $dim\ j^{-1}(j(X)) = 2$. Then $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$ will be a component of maximal dimension of $j^{-1}(j(X))$.

Consider the restriction of j, on the subvariety $\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$ of nodal Fano 3-folds of degree 10. Clearly, the general Lefschetz pencil $\{X(t) \subset W_5 : t \in \mathbf{P}^1\} \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}$ contains a finite number of nodal threefolds, i.e. $dim(\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}) = dim(\mathcal{X}_{10}) - 1 = 22 - 1 = 21$ (see also (N.3.8)).

Let $\mathcal{J}_{10}^{nodal} = j(\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}) \subset \mathcal{J}_{10} \subset \mathcal{A}_{10}$ be the subvariety of generalized intermediate jacobians of nodal X_{10}. If $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}$ is general, then the node o of X defines $j(X)$ uniquely as an extension of $\mathbf{C}^*$ by the abelian part $(J(X), \Theta) \in \mathcal{A}_9$.

On the one hand, according to (N.3.8), the general fiber of the map $j^n : \mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal} \to \mathcal{A}_9$, is a union of two irreducible surfaces; in particular, $dim\ j^n(\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}) = 19$. Therefore, $dim\ \mathcal{J}_{10} \geq dim\ j^n(\mathcal{X}_{10}^{nodal}) + 1 = 19 + 1 = 20$. On the other hand, $dim\ \mathcal{J}_{10} \leq dim\ \mathcal{X}_{10} - 2 = 20$, since the general fiber $j^{-1}(J(X))$, $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, contains the surface $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$. Therefore $dim\ \mathcal{J}_{10} = 20$, and the components of maximal dimension, of the general fiber of j, are of dimension 2 **q.e.d.**

The next if the analog of (F.1)-(F.2)-(F.2.1)-(F.3), for line transformations. The proofs are identical:

(F.4) Proposition. *Let $X 1 \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be general, and let l_1 and l_2 be a pair of two general lines on X.*

Let $\beta_{l_1} : X \to X_{l_1}$ and $\beta_{l_2} : X \to X_{l_2}$ be the transformations defined by l_1 and l_2. Then $X_{l_1} \neq X$, $X_{l_2} \neq X$; and if $l_1 \neq l_2$ then $X_{l_1} \neq X_{l_2}$.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(X)$ be the curve of lines on X – see (L.1). Let $\beta : \Gamma \to \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be the map defined by: $\beta : l \mapsto X_l$, for general $l \in \Gamma$ (see the definition of α in (F.2.1)). Then β defines an embedding of Γ, in the fiber $j^{-1}(j(X))$ of the intermediate jacobian map j, over the point $j(X) \in \mathcal{J}_{10}$.

(F.5) Proposition. *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be general. Then the fiber $j^{-1}(j(X))$ has exactly two irreducible components of maximal dimension $= 2$.*

Let F and $\overline{F}$ be the closures, in $\mathcal{X}_{10}$, of the two 2-dimensional irreducible components of $j^{-1}(j(X))$. Then $j^{-1}(j(X)) = F \cup \overline{F}$.

Denote by F the component of $j^{-1}(j(X))$ defined by the condition $X \in F$. Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\Gamma(X)$ be the Fano surface and the curve of lines on X. Then $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X)) = F$, and $\beta(\Gamma(X)) \subset \overline{F}$, i.e. the conic transformations do not interchange the components of j, while the line transformations interchange the components of j.

Proof. To begin with, we shall see that $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\beta(\Gamma(X)$ lie in different components f $j^{-1}(j(X))$. Assume, on the contrary, that $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\beta(\Gamma(X)$ lie on the same component of $j^{-1}(j(X))$, say F. Then the closed set $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$ coincides with F – see (5.3). In particular, $\beta(\Gamma(X)) \subset \alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$.

Turning back to the definition of the conic transformation in section C, one can see that α_q can be defined, and $X_q \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, for any τ-conic $q \subset X$ (see the description of M in (C.3.1)-(C.3.2)) – including the reducible τ-conics $q = l + m$, where the intersecting lines have non-coincident centers – see Remark (F.5.1).

In particular, we obtain that $X_q = \alpha_q(X)$ is a well-defined Fano threefold of degree 10, for any τ-conic $q \subset X$. Indeed, the only exceptions of τ-conics, where α may be not well-defined, arise from the finite number of involutive pairs of reducible τ-conics on the general X, which form a subset of codimension 2 in the open set of τ-conics on X. By the Hartogs's theorem, the map α can be completed to a regular map also in these τ-conics (all they are, in general, disjoint from the curve of ρ-conics, where α has not been described explicitly.

Therefore, the only elements of the Zariski closure of $\alpha(X)$, which may lie on the boundary of the moduli space $\mathcal{X}_{10}$, must correspond to σ-conics, or – to the unique ρ-conic on X.

Since the set of σ-conics on X is a smooth rational curve on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ (see (C.1.1)), the isomorphic image $\beta(\Gamma(X))$ of the non-rational curve $\Gamma(X)$ (see (F.4)) does not lie entirely outside the α-image of the open set of τ conics on X. Indeed, by the decomposition of birational isomorphisms in $dim = 2$ into σ-processes and their inverses, the surface $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$, which is birational to the smooth surface $\mathcal{F}$, must be biregular to $\mathcal{F}$, except at most in a union of rational curves.

Therefore, the general line transformation X_l of X must coincide with some conic transformation X_q of X, where q is a τ-conic on X – which is impossible by the same arguments as these in the proof of (F.2). Therefore the curve $\beta(\Gamma(X))$ does not lie in the component $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$ of $j^{-1}(j(X))$.

The next is to see that $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X)$ must coincide with the component F of $j^{-1}(j(X)) = F \cup \overline{F}$, defined by $X \in F$. Assume, on the contrary, that $\alpha(\mathcal{F}(X) = \overline{F}$. According to the preceding, this implies that $\beta(\Gamma(X)) \subset F$.

Let $q = l + m$ be a general reducible conic on X, i.e. the components l and m of q are two different lines, intersecting each other in a single point $x \in X$. Since X is general, we can assume that $N_{l|X} \cong N_{m|X} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, and the "centers" (see the proof of (L.3.1)) of l and m do not coincide.

Therefore $q = l + m$ is a conic of τ-type (see (C.1.0)). Moreover, by the general choice of X, one can suppose that the unique involutive conic p, of the τ-conic $q = l + m$, is smooth.

By Remark (F.5.1), the transformation α is defined on $l + m$, and the threefold $X_{l+m} = \alpha_{l+m}(X)$ is an element of $\mathcal{X}_{10}$.

Let $\beta_l : X \to X_l$ be the line transformation of X defined by l, and let $\overline{l} \subset X_l$ be the line defined by the pair (X, l) – see (L.6). As it follows from the description of β_l – see e.g. (L.6.2), β_l transforms m to a line $m_1 \subset X_l$ which intersects $\overline{l}$. The pair (X_l, m_1) defines the line transformation $\beta_{m_1} : X_l \to X_{l,m_1}$, and the line $\overline{m_1} \subset X_{l,m_1}$. Similarly, the proper β_{m_1}-image of $\overline{l}$ is a line $\overline{l}_1 \subset X_{l,m_1}$, which intersects $\overline{m_1}$.

On the one hand, the birational map $\beta_{m_1} \circ \beta_l : X \to X_{l,m_1}$ is a composition of two line transformations, which – by assumption – leaves the image X_{l,m_1} in the component F which contains X. On the other hand, the composition $\beta_{m_1} \circ \beta_l$, and the map α_{l+m} coincide. In fact, by the same argument as in [Isk3,(4.6.1),(4.6.2)] (where conic and line transformations are defined for the intersection $V_{2.3}$ of a quadric and a cubic in $\mathbf{P}^5$), the conic transformation α_{l+m}, and the composition of the line transformations $\beta_{m_1} \circ \beta_l$, differs from each other by a biregular isomorphism of X. Since the general X has no biregular automorphisms – see Lemma (A.6.1), this proves the coincidence. In particular, $X_{l,m_1} = X_{l+m}$.

Now, according to the assumption, the line transformations β_l and β_{m_1} leave the images X_l and X_{l,m_1} in the component F, while $X_{l+m} \in \overline{F}$. However $X_{l+m} = X_{l,m_1}$ – contradiction.

It rest to see that the fiber of j, through the general $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$, cannot have other components, besides the two already known components F and $\overline{F}$ of the birational orbit of X.

As it follows from section N , the fiber of j, over the generalized intermediate jacobian of the general nodal X_{10}, is a union of two irreducible 2-dimensional families of nodal X_{10}-s (see e.g. Proposition (N.3.8) – formulated in terms of the 9-dimensional abelian part of the 10-dimensional generalized jacobian of the nodal X_{10}). Therefore, the 2-dimensional general fiber $j^{-1}(j(X))$ must be a union of two irreducible surfaces. However, we already know these surfaces – they are, in fact, the already known components F and $\overline{F}$ of the birational orbit $Orb_{bir}(X)$. In particular, all the points of $Orb_{bir}(X)$ must lie on $F \cup \overline{F}$. **q.e.d.**

(F.5.1) Remark. *Description of the conic transformation in a general reducible conic.*

It is not hard to find the degeneration of the conic transformation for such degenerate conics. We shall describe it for a reducible conic $l + m$ for which the unique involutive conic $p = l \tilde{+} m$ is smooth. By the general choice of X, this is the general case of a reducible conic on X.

To the first, one have to replace X with $\tilde{X} =$ (the blow-up of X in x). Then, the proper preimages $\tilde{l} \subset \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{m} \subset \tilde{X}$, of l and m, become disjoint, and one can blow-up $\tilde{l} \subset \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{m} \subset \tilde{X}$ simultaneously to a threefold $\tilde{X}'$.

Then one has to perform all the σ-processes over the proper preimages of the contractible lines and conics for the projection from $l+m$, to obtain the threefold $\tilde{X}^+$ (which is the analog of the flop-ed threefold X^+ for a smooth τ-conic – see (C.6.2) and (L.6.2). Then the proper images $\tilde{M_l}^{+}$ and $\tilde{M_m}^{+}$, on $\tilde{X}^+$ – of the unique divisors $M_l \in \mid H - 2.l \mid$ and $M_m \in \mid H - 2.m \mid$ – become disjoint and contractible along their rulings. This way, one obtains the threefold $\tilde{X}_{l+m}$, which (by symmetry – just as $\tilde{X}$) contains a plane P^+ – the proper image of the exceptional divisor which lie over the unique involutive conic p of $l + m$. The base curves $\tilde{l}^+$ and $\tilde{m}^+$ of the obtained birational morphism $\tilde{X}^+ \to \tilde{X}_{l+m}$ are disjoint, and each of these two curves intersects P^+ in a single point. The plane P^+ defines a contraction $\tilde{X}_{l+m} \to X_{l+m}$, and the images $\overline{l}$ and $\overline{m}$, of the two base curves, joint each other in the point-image $\overline{x}$ of P^+. The reducible conic $\overline{l} + \overline{m} \subset X_{l+m}$ is the counterpart of the conic $l + m \subset X$, under the degenerate conic transformation α_{l+m}.

(F.5.2) Corollary. *In the notation of (F.5), let $j^{-1}(J(X)) = F + \overline{F}$ be the decomposition of the general fiber of j into irreducible components. In particular $X \in F = \alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$, where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the Fano surface of conics on X.*

Let $Z \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ be another threefold which belong to the component F. Assume that Z is otherwise general, and let $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ be the Fano surface of conics on Z. Then $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ is birational to $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

Proof. Since $Z \in F$ is general, and $F = \alpha(\mathcal{F}(X))$, there exists a unique conic $q \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $Z = X_q = \alpha_q(X)$ (see (F.5) and (F.2)). Since X and $Z = X_q$ belong to the same component F of $j^{-1}(j(X)) = j^{-1}(j(X_q))$, both $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(X_q))$ are birational to F. This proves (F.5.2).

(F.5.3) *The birational isomorphism* $\phi_q : \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(X_q)$.

One can find directly a natural birational isomorphism $\phi_q : \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(X_q)$.

We shall define ϕ_q in the general conic $p \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Since p is general, one can assume that q and p are disjoint, and the planes $Span(q)$ and $Span(p)$ do not intersect each other in $\mathbf{P}^7 = Span(X)$. Let $\mathbf{P}^5(p,q) := Span(p \cup q)$. Since X is a Fano threefold of index 1, and of degree 10, the intersection $\mathbf{P}^5(p,q) \cap X$ is a canonical curve of degree 10 (and of arithmetical genus 6), which contains the conics p and q as components. The general choice of the pair (p,q), and the Riemann-Roch formulae for singular curves, imply that the residue curve $C(p,q) = \mathbf{P}^5 \cap X - p - q$ is a smooth rational sextic which intersects each of p and q in 4 points. Since q is fixed, the choice of the general conic p defines uniquely $C(p,q)$. Now, observe that the conic transformation $\beta_q : X \to X_q$ sends $C(p,q)$ to a conic $r(p,q) \subset X_q$. In fact, is enough to compute $deg\ r(p,q)$:

Since β_q is defined by the system $\mid 3.H - 4.q \mid$, then $deg\ r(p,q) = deg\ \beta_q(C(p,q)) = 3.deg\ C(p,q) - 4.\#(C(p,q) \cap q) = 3.6 - 4.4 = 2$, i.e. $r(p,q)$ is a conic. Let, moreover, $\overline{q} \subset X_q$ be the conic defined by the pair (X,q). It can be easily seen that if p and q are disjoint, then the conics $r(p,q)$ and $\overline{q}$ are disjoint.

For the general conic p, we define the birational map $\phi_q : \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(X_q)$ by $\phi : p \mapsto r(p,q)$.

(F.5.4) Remark.

Let $\{X(t) \subset W_5 : t \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$ be a general Lefschetz pencil in $\mathcal{X}_{10}$, and let $t = 0$ be one of the finite number of values of t for which $X(t)$ acquires a node.

Let $(\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta)$, and $\tilde{\Delta}', \Delta')$ be the determinantal pair, and its Dixon involutive pair, defined by $X(0)$ (see [Ve], or (N.3.8)-(N.3.9)).

According to Proposition (N.3.8), the fiber of the intermediate jacobian map for nodal X_{10}, is isomorphic to the union of the two special surfaces $\mathcal{S}^+ \subset Symm^6(\tilde{\Delta})$, and $\mathcal{S}'^+ \subset Symm^6(\tilde{\Delta}')$ – see also the proof of (N.3.6). Moreover, since the Lefschetz pencil defines a projective deformation of $X(t) \subset W_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^7$, the Fano surface of conics on $\mathcal{F}(X(t))$ degenerates to the Fano surface $\mathcal{F}(X(0))$. As it follows from (F.5), $\mathcal{F}(X(0))$ has to be birational to the component $F(0)$, of the fiber $j^{-1}j(X(0)) = F(0) \cup \overline{F}(0)$. Here the intermediate jacobian map j is regarded equally – as taking the abelian part of the generalized Jacobian of $X(0)$, or – as taking the generalized jacobian of $X(0)$. Since $j^{-1}(j(X(0)) \cong \mathcal{S}^+ \cup \mathcal{S}'^+$, the non-ordered pairs $(F(0), \overline{F}(0))$ and $(\mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}'^+)$ must coincide. In particular, the Fano surface $\mathcal{F}(X(0))$ must be birational to at least one of $\mathcal{S}^+$ and $\mathcal{S}'^+$. This is consistent with Remark (N.3.9), declaring the birational isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(X(0)) \to \mathcal{S}^+$ (see also (N.3.9)).

(F.6) *The fiber of the Griffiths map for non-hyperelliptic Fano threefolds of genus* 6.

The following summarizes the main results in the paper:

THEOREM. *Let* $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ *be the moduli space of non-hyperelliptic Fano threefolds of genus* $g = 6$ *(and – of degree* $10 = 2g - 2$*). Let* $j : \mathcal{X}_{10} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}$ *be the Griffiths period map on* $\mathcal{X}_{10}$*, sending the general* $X \in \mathcal{X}_{10}$ *to its* 10*-dimensional p.p.intermediate jacobian* $j(X) = (J(X), \Theta)$*, and let* $\mathcal{J}_{10} \subset \mathcal{A}_{10}$ *be the image of* j.

Then dim $\mathcal{J}_{10} = 20$ *(section N), and* $\mathcal{X}_{10}$ *is birational to the* 22*-dimensional orbifold* $\mid \mathcal{O}_W(2) \mid /Aut(W)$ *– where* $W \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ *is the prehomogeneous Fano fourfold of degree* 5*, and* $Aut(W)$ *is its* 8*-dimensional automorphism group (section A). The fiber* $J^{-1}(J, \Theta) \subset \mathcal{X}_{10}$*, over the general* $(J, \Theta) \in \mathcal{J}_{10}$*, is a union of two irreducible families of threefolds, and:*

(1). *If* X *is any threefold of* $j^{-1}(J, \Theta)$*, then the fiber* $j^{-1}(J, \Theta)$ *is isomorphic to the birational orbit* $Orb_{bir}(X)$ *(= the set of all birational images of* X*, in the class* $\mathcal{X}_{10}$*) – see (I.1)(*). In particular, all the threefolds of the fiber are birational to each other.*

(2). *Let* F *be one of the components of* $j^{-1}(J, \Theta)$*, and let* $\overline{F}$ *be the residue component.*

Then, for the general pair $(X_1, X_2) \in F \times F$*, there exists a unique conic* $q_1 \in X_1$*, and a unique conic* $q_2 \in X_2$*, such that the pairs* (X_1, q_1) *and* (X_2, q_2) *are obtained from each other by the involutive conic transformation* α *on* $\mathcal{X}_{10}$*. In particular,* X_j *is a birational image of* X_i*, under the quadruple cubic projection from* q_i*, defined by the noncomplete linear system* $\mid \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(3) - 4.q_i \mid$*, where* $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 1)$ *– see (C.6), (F.1)-(F.3), (F.5).*

Moreover, if $X \in F$*, then the general line* $l \subset X$ *defines a birational isomorphism* $\beta_l : X \to X_l$*, where* $X_l \in \overline{F}$ *(see (C.6) and (F.5)) – i.e. – the line transformations* β_l*,* $l -$ *a line on* X*, interchange the components* F *and* $\overline{F}$ *of the fiber of the Griffiths map.*

References

[B1] A.Beauville, *Variétés de Prym et jacobienne intermédiaires.* Ann. de l'AENS, 4 ser.,10 (1977), 149-196.

[B2] A.Beauville, *Les singularités du diviseur theta de la jacobienne intermédiaire de l'hypersurface cubique dans* $\mathbf{P}^4$. Lect. Notes in Math., Vol. 947 (1982), 190-208.

[B3] A.Beauville, *Sous-variét'es speciales des variétés de Prym.* Comp. Math., 45 (1982), 357-383.

[C1] H.Clemens, *Double solids.* Adv. Math., 47:2 (1983), 107-230.

[C2] H.Clemens, *The Quartic Double Solid Revisited.* Proc. Symp.in Pure Math., Vol. 53 (1991), 89-101.

[C3] H.Clemens, *The fiber of the Prym map and the period map for double solids, as given by Ron Donagi.* Univ. of Utah - Preprint.

[CG] H.Clemens, P.Griffiths, *The intermediate jacobian of the cubic threefold.* Ann. Math., 95 (1972), 281-356.

[D1] O.Debarre, *Le théorème de Torelli pour les intersections de trois quadriques.* Inv. Math., 95 (1989), 507-528.

[D2] O.Debarre, *Sur le theoreme de Torelli pour les solides doubles quartiques.* Comp. Math., 73 (1990), 161-187.

[F] M.Furushima, *Mukai-Umemura's example of the Fano threefold with genus* 12 *as a compactification of* $\mathbf{C}^3$. Nagoya Math. J., 127 (1992), 145-165.

[FS] R.Friedman, R.Smith, *Degenerations of Prym varieties and intersections of three quadrics.* Inv. Math., 85 (1986), 615-635.

[GH] P.Griffiths, J.Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry.* J.Willey & , New York (1978).

[Gua] D.Guan, *Toward a classification of almost homogeneous spaces.* Princeton Univ., Sept. 1994 – preprint.

[Gus] N.Gushel, *On Fano varieties of genus* 6. Math. USSR - Izv., 21 (1983), 445-459.

[H1] S.Hashin, *Birational automorphisms of the double Veronese cone of dimension three.* Vestnik MGU, Ser.I: Mat. - Mech., No.1 (1984), 13-16 (in Russian); translated in: Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.

[H2] S.Hashin, *Birational automorphisms of Fano manifolds of index* 1 *and of degree* 10 (announcement). Proc. Conference "Algebra, Logics, and Number Theory", Feb. - March 1985, Moscow Univ. Publ. House (1986), ed. Yu.Manin & L.Skornjakov, 84-86 (in Russian).

[Isk1] V.Iskovskikh, *Fano threefolds I.* Math. USSR - Izv., 11:3 (1977), 485-527.

[Isk2] V.Iskovskikh, *Fano threefolds II.* Math. USSR - Izv., 12:3 (1978), 469-506.

[Isk3] V.Iskovskikh, *Birational automorphisms of three-dimensional algebraic varieties.* J. of Soviet Math., Vol.13:6 (1980), 815-868.

[Isk4] V.Iskovskikh, *On the rationality problem for conic bundles.* Duke Math. J., 54:2 (1987), 271-294.

[Isk5] V.Iskovskikh, *Algebraic threefolds with special regard to the problem of rationality.* Proc.Int.Congress of Math., Aug.16-24 (1983), Warszawa, 733-746.

[Isk6] V.Iskovskikh, *Lectures on algebraic manifods: Fano manifolds.* Moscow Univ. Publ. House (1988).

[Isk7] V.Iskovskikh, *Double projection from a line on three-dimensional Fano varieties of the first specie.* Matem. Sbornik, Vol.180:2 (1989), 260-278. (in Russian); translated in: Math. USSR - Sbornik.

[IM] V.Iskovskikh, Yu.Manin *Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem.* Math. USSR - Sbornik, 15 (1971), 141-166.

[Ili1] A.Iliev, *Geometry of the Fano threefold of degree* 10 *of the first type.* Contemp. Math., Vol.136 (1992), 209-254.

[Ili2] A.Iliev, *Lines on the Gushel threefold.* Indag. Math., N.S., 5(3) (1994), 307-320.

[Ili3] A.Iliev, *The Fano surface of the Gushel threefold.* Comp. Math., 94 (1994), 81-107.

[Ili4] A.Iliev, *The theta divisor of the bidegree (2,2) threefold in* $\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^2$. preprint (1994).

[K] J.Kollar, *Flops.* Nagoya Math. J., 113 (1989), 14-36.

[L] D.Logachev, *Abel-Jacobi isogeny for the Fano threefold of genus* 6. Yaroslavl' Gos. Ped. Inst./ K.D.Ushinskij (1982) – Thesis (in Russian); or: Coll. – "Sb.Constructive Algebraic Geometry", vol. 200 – Yaroslavl' (1982), 67-76 (in Russian).

[Mo] S.Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective.* Ann. Math., 116 (1982), 133-176.

[Mu] S.Mukai, *Curves, $K3$ surfaces, and Fano 3-folds of genus* ≤ 10. in: "Alg. Geom. and Comm. Alg. in Honor of M.Nagata", (1988), 357-377 – Kinokuniya, Tokyo.

[MU] S.Mukai, H.Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds.* L. N. in Math., Vol.1016 (1983), 490-518.

[Pu] P.J.Puts, *On some Fano threefolds that are sections of Grassmannians.* Indag. Math., 44 (1982), 77-90.

[PS1] T.Peternell, M.Schneider, *Compactifications of* $\mathbf{C}^3$ *I.* Math. Ann., 280 (1988), 129-146.

[PS2] T.Peternell, M.Schneider, *Compactifications of* $\mathbf{C}^3$ *II.* Math. Ann., 283 (1989), 121-137.

[Pr1] Yu.Prokhorov, *Geometric properties of Fano manifolds.* Moscow Univ., Dept. Math. & Mech. (1990) – Thesis (in Russian).

[Pr2] Yu.Prokhorov, *Compactifications of* $\mathbf{C}^4$ *of index* 3. Proc. 8^{th} Alg. Geom. Conf. – Yaroslavl' (1992), Ser. Aspects of Math., Publ.: Steklov Inst. Math., eds. A.Tikhomirov & A.Tyurin.

[Ti1] A.Tikhomirov, *Geometry of the Fano surface of the double space* $\mathbf{P}^3$ *ramified in a quartic.* Izv. AN SSSR - Ser. Mat., 44:2 (1980), 415-442 (in Russian); translated in: Math. USSR - Izv.

[Ti2] A.Tikhomirov, *The middle jacobian of the double space* $\mathbf{P}^3$ *ramified in a quartic.* Izv.AN SSSR - Ser.Mat., 44:6 (1980), 1329-1377 (in Russian); translated in: Math. USSR - Izv.

[Ti3] A.Tikhomirov, *The Abel-Jacobi map of sextics of genus* 3 *on double spaces of* $\mathbf{P}^3$ *of index two.* Soviet Math. Dokl., Vol. 33:1 (1986), 204-206.

[Tju] A.Tjurin (A.Tyurin), *The Middle Jacobian of Three-Dimensional Varieties.* J. of Soviet Math., Vol.13:6 (1980), 707-744.

[Tr] S.Tregub, *Construction of a birational isomorphism of three-dimensional cibic and a vaiety of Fano of the first specie with* $g = 8$*, connected with a rational normal curve of degree* 4. Vestnik MGU, Ser.I: Math. - Mech., No.6 (1985), 99-101. (in Russian); translated in: Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.

[Ve] A.Verra, *The Prym map has degree two on plane sextics.* preprint (1991).

[Vo] C.Voisin, *Sur la jacobienne intermédiaire du double solide d'indice deux.* Duke Math. J., 57:2 (1988), 629-646.

[W] G.E.Welters, *Abel-Jacobi isogenies for certain types of Fano threefolds.* Math. Centre Tracts 141, Math. Centrum Amsterdam (1981).

Atanas Iliev
Institute of Mathematics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Acad.G.Bonchev Str., bl.8
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail address: algebra@bgearn.bitnet